

-
- Pěč, K. and Martinec, Z., 1982. Expansion of geoid heights into a spherical harmonic series. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **26**, 115–119.
 1. Matyska, C., 1989. The Earth's gravity field and constraints to its density distribution. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **33**, 1–10.
 2. Grafarend, E. W., 1989. The geoid and the gravimetric boundary value problem. The Royal Institute of Technology - Stockholm. TRITA-GEOD series, report No. 18.
 - Pěč, K. and Martinec, Z., 1983: Expansion of geoid heights over a triaxial Earth's ellipsoid into a spherical harmonic series. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **27**, 217–232.
 3. Matyska, C., 1989. The Earth's gravity field and constraints to its density distribution. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **33**, 1–10.
 4. Goździewski, K., Maciejew, A. J. and Stooke, P. J., 1994. A model of gravitational field of Amalthea. 1. Derivation. *Earth, Moon, and Planets*, **64**, 243–264.
 5. Burša, M. and Kostecký, J. 1994. *Kosmická geodezie a kosmická geodynamika*, Ministerstvo obrany - GŠ AČR, Praha.
 - Pěč, K. and Martinec, Z., 1984. Constraints to three dimensional non-hydrostatic density distribution in the Earth. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **28**, 364–380.
 6. Matyska, C., 1987. The inverse gravimetric problem: existence, uniqueness and stability of the solution. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **31**, 252–257.
 7. Matyska, C., 1989. The Earth's gravity field and constraints to its density distribution. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **33**, 1–10.
 8. Grafarend, E. W., 1989. The geoid and the gravimetric boundary value problem. The Royal Institute of Technology - Stockholm. TRITA-GEOD series, report No. 18.
 9. Čadek, O. and Matyska, C., 1990. 3-D modelling convection in the Earth's mantle: influence of the core-mantle boundary. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **34**, 278–283.
 10. Čadek, O. and Matyska, C., 1991. Mass heterogeneities and convection in the Earth's mantle inferred from gravity and core-mantle boundary irregularities. *PAGEOPH*, **135**, 107–123.
 11. Engels, J., 1991. Eine approximative Lösung der fixen gravimetrischen Randwertaufgabe im Innen- und Außenraum der Erde. Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 379**, München.
 12. Ballani, L., Engels, J. and Grafarend, E.W., 1993. Global base functions for the mass density in the interior of a massive body (Earth). *Man. Geod.*, **18**, 99–114.
 13. Grafarend E.W., 1994. What is a geoid? In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaniček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 3–32.
 14. Lehmann, R., 1999. Maximum entropy density determination for the Earth and terrestrial planets. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **43**, 35–60.
 - Martinec, Z., 1984. Free oscillations of the Earth, *Travaux geoph.*, **591**, 117–236.
 15. Čadek, O., 1989. Spherical tensor approach to the solution of the mantle stress problem. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **33**, 177–197.
 16. Kostecký, J. and Klokočník, J., 1997. A possible impact of the earth's free oscillations on satellite orbits. *Adv. Space Res.*, **19**, 1729–1734.
 17. Hanyk, L., 1999. Viscoelastic response of the Earth: Initial-value approach. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague.
 18. Wiczerkowski, K., 1999. Gravito-Viskoelastodynamik für verallgemeinerte Rheologien mit Anwendungen auf den Jupitermond Io und die Erde, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 515**, München.

19. Pergler, T., 2004. Postseismic relaxation of the Earth's models with the Maxwell rheology. Ms. thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague.
20. Engels, J., 2006. Zur Modellierung von Auflastdeformationen und induzierter Polwanderung. Technical report 2006.1, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, pp. 190.
- Pěč, K., Martinec, Z. and Pěčová, J., 1985. Matrix approach to the solution of electromagnetic induction in a spherically layered Earth. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **29**, 139–162.
21. Praus, O., Pěčová, J., Červ, V., Kovačiková, S., Pek, J., Velímský, J., 2011. Electrical conductivity at mid-mantle depths estimated from the data of Sq and long period geomagnetic variations. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 241–264.
22. Velímský, J., Finlay, C.C., 2011. Effect of a metallic core on transient geomagnetic induction. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, **12**, No. Q05011.
- Martinec, Z. and Pěč, K., 1986. Normal density Earth model. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **30**, 124–147.
23. Grafarend, E.W., 1989. The geoid and the gravimetric boundary value problem. The Royal Institute of Technology - Stockholm. TRITA-GEOD series, report No. 18.
24. Engels, J., 1991. Eine approximative Lösung der fixen gravimetrischen Randwertaufgabe im Innen- und Außenraum der Erde. Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 379**, München.
25. Ballani, L., Engels, J. and Grafarend, E. W., 1993. Global base functions for the mass density in the interior of a massive body (Earth). *Man. Geod.*, **18**, 99–114.
26. Grafarend, E.W., 1994. What is a geoid? In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaníček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 3–32.
- Martinec, Z. and Pěč, K., 1986: Three-dimensional density distribution generating the observed gravity field of planets. Part I. The Earth. In: Proc.Int.Symp., Figure of the Earth, the Moon and Other planets, Res. Inst. Geod. Prague, 129–152.
27. Matyska, C., 1989. The Earth's gravity field and constraints to its density distribution. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **33**, 1–10.
28. Čadek, O., 1989. Spherical tensor approach to the solution of the mantle stress problem. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **33**, 177–197.
29. Engels, J., 1991. Eine approximative Lösung der fixen gravimetrischen Randwertaufgabe im Innen- und Außenraum der Erde. Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 379**, München.
30. Ballani, L., Engels, J. and Grafarend, E. W., 1993. Global base functions for the mass density in the interior of a massive body (Earth). *Man. Geod.*, **18**, 99–114.
31. Grafarend, E.W., 1994. What is a geoid? In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaníček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 3–32.
32. Grafarend, E.W., Engels, J. and Varga, P., 1997. The spacetime gravitational field of a deformable body. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 11–30.
33. Engels, J., 2006. Zur Modellierung von Auflastdeformationen und induzierter Polwanderung. Technical report 2006.1, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, pp. 190.
- Pěčová, J., Martinec, Z. and Pěč, K., 1987. Appreciation of spherically symmetric models of electrical conductivity. *Pageoph.*, **125**, 291–318.
34. Hobbs, B.A., 1992. Terminology and symbols for use in studies of electromagnetic induction in the Earth. *Surv. Geophys.*, **13**, 489–515.
35. Schulz, A. and Semenov, V.Y., 1993. Modeling the electrical conductivity structure of the Earth's mantle. *Fizika Zemli*, **10**, 39–43.
36. Duhau, S. and Martinez, E.A., 1998. A method for the interpretation of three-dimensional equatorial GDV fields. *Earth Planet Space*, **50**, 141–151.
- Pěč, K. and Martinec, Z., 1988. Gravitational potential inside 3-D inhomogeneous Earth: a boundary-value problem for the Poisson equation. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **32**, 32–46.

37. Matyska, C., 1989. The Earth's gravity field and constraints to its density distribution. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **33**, 1–10.
 38. Grafarend, E.W., 1989. The geoid and the gravimetric boundary value problem. The Royal Institute of Technology - Stockholm. TRITA-GEOD series, report No. 18.
 39. Engels, J., 1991. Eine approximative Lösung der fixen gravimetrischen Randwertaufgabe im Innen- und Außenraum der Erde. Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 379**, München.
 40. Heck, B., 1992. Some remarks on the determination of the geoid in the framework of the internal geodetic boundary value problem. Proceedings of the 1-st Continental Workshop on the Geoid in Europe, Prague, May 11-14, 1992, eds. P.Holota and M.Vermeer, pp.458–471.
 41. Ballani, L., Engels, J. and Grafarend, E.W., 1993. Global base functions for the mass density in the interior of a massive body (Earth). *Man. Geod.*, **18**, 99–114.
 42. Grafarend, E.W., 1994. What is a geoid? In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaniček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 3–32.
 43. Hagedoorn, J.M. and Greiner-Mai H., 2008. Core-mantle coupling, Part I: Electromagnetic coupling torques. Scientific Technical Report STR08/06, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 68.
 44. Hagedoorn, J.M., Greiner-Mai, H., Ballani, L., 2010. Determining the time-variable part of the toroidal geomagnetic field in the core-mantle boundary zone. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **178**, 56–67.
- Martinec, Z., 1989. Thomson-Haskell matrix method for free spheroidal elastic oscillations. *Geoph. J. Int.*, **98**, 195–199.
 45. Mochizuki, E., 1990. Thomson-Haskell matrix-method for free spheroidal elastic oscillations - comment. *Geoph. J. Int.*, **101**, 487.
 46. Caviglia, G. and Morro, A., 1994. Wave propagation in a dissipative stratified layer. *Wave Motion*, **19**, 51–66.
 - Martinec, Z., 1989. Program to calculate the spectral harmonic expansion coefficients of the two scalar fields product. *Computer Physics Communications*, **54**, 177–182.
 47. Čížková, H. and Čadek, O., 1997. Effect of a viscosity interface at 1000 km depth on mantle circulation. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **41**, 297–306.
 48. Hanyk, L., 1999. Viscoelastic response of the Earth: Initial-value approach. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague.
 49. Čadek, O. and Fleitout, L., 2003. Effect of lateral viscosity variations in the top 300 km on the geoid and dynamic topography. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **152**, 566–580.
 50. Tobie, G., Čadek, O., Sotin, C., 2008. Solid tidal friction above a liquid water reservoir as the origin of the south pole hotspot on Enceladus. *Icarus*, **196**, 642–652.
 51. Běhounková, M., Tobie, G., Choblet, G., Čadek, O., 2010. Coupling mantle convection and tidal dissipation: Applications to Enceladus and Earth-like planets. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, Art. E09011.
 - Martinec, Z., Pěč, K. and Burša, M., 1989. The Phobos gravitational field modeled on the basis of its topography. *Earth, Moon, and Planets*, **45**, 219–235.
 52. Goździewski, K., Maciejewski, A.J. and Stooke, P. J., 1994. A model of the gravitational field of Amalthea. 1. Derivation. *Earth, Moon, and Planets*, **64**, 243–264.
 53. Goździewski, K. and Maciejewski, A. J., 1995. On the gravitational fields of Pandora and Prometheus. *Earth, Moon, and Planets*, **69**, 25–50.
 54. Werner, R.A., 1997. Spherical harmonic coefficients for the potential of a constant-density polyhedron. *Comput. Geosci.*, **23**, 1071–1077.
 55. Shishov, V.A., 2008. Determination of spacecraft and Phobos parameters of motion in the Phobos-Grunt project. *Solar System Research*, **42**, 319–328.
 56. Tsoulis, D., Jamet, O., Verdun, J., Gonindard, N., 2009. Recursive algorithms for the computation of the potential harmonic coefficients of a constant density polyhedron *J. Geod.*, **83**, 925–942.

57. Jamet, O., Verdun, J., Tsoulis, D., Gonindard, N., 2010. Assessment of a numerical method for computing the spherical harmonic coefficients of the gravitational potential of a constant density polyhedron. *Gravity, Geoid and Earth Observation*, **135**, 437–443.
- Martinec, Z. and Pěč, K., 1989. 3D-Earth's density model inferred from the external gravitational field. In: *Inverse Modeling in Exploration Geophysics*, eds. A.Vogel, R.Gorenflo, B.Kummer and Ch.Ofoegbu, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 65–78.

58. Čadek, O. and Matyska, C., 1991. Mass heterogeneities and convection in the Earth's mantle inferred from gravity and core-mantle boundary irregularities. *PAGEOPH*, **135**, 107–123.

59. Lehmann, R., 1999. Maximum entropy density determination for the Earth and terrestrial planets. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **43**, 35–60.

 - Martinec, Z., 1990. The influence of the core-mantle boundary irregularities on the mass density distribution inside the Earth. In: *Geophysical Data Inversion Methods and Applications*, eds. A.Vogel, R.Gorenflo, B. Ursin and Ch.Ofoegbu, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 233–256.

60. Schulz, A. and Semenov, V. Y., 1993. Modeling the electrical conductivity structure of the Earth's mantle. *Fizika Zemli*, **10**, 39–43.

61. Oraevsky, V. N., Rotanova, N. M., Semenov, V. Y., Bondar T. N. and Abramova, D. Y., 1993. Magnetovariational sounding of the Earth using observatory and MAGSAT satellite data. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **78**, 119–130.

62. Ballani, L., Stromeyer, D. and Barthelmes, F., 1993. Decomposition principles for linear source problems. In: *Inverse Problems: Principles and Applications in Geophysics, Technology and Medicine*, eds. G.Anger, R.Gorenflo, H.Jochmann, H.Moritz and W.Webers, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 45–59.

63. Semenov V.Y. and Rodkin, M., 1996. Conductivity structure of the upper mantle in an active subduction zone. *J. Geodyn.*, **21**, 355–364.

64. Semenov, V. Y. and Kharin, E. P., 1997. Electrical conductivity of the mantle from the data of Russian geomagnetic observatories. *Fiz. Zemli*, **9**, 31–37.

65. Semenov V.Y., 1998. Regional conductivity structures of the Earth's mantle. *Publications of the Institute of Geophysics Polish Academy of Sciences*, Monographic volume, C-65 (302), Warszawa, pp. 123.

66. Michel, V., Fokas, A. S., 2008. A unified approach to various techniques for the non-uniqueness of the inverse gravimetric problem and wavelet-based methods. *Inverse Problems*, **24**, 045019 (25pp), doi:10.1088/0266-5611/24/4/045019

 - Martinec, Z., 1991. Program to calculate the least-squares estimates of the spherical harmonic expansion coefficients of an equally angular-gridded scalar field. *Computer Physics Communications*, **64**, 140–148.

67. Vajda, P., 1995. Truncated geoid and the gravimetric inverse problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.

68. Čadek, O., Yuen, D. A., Čížková, H., et al., 1998. New perspectives on mantle dynamics from high-resolution seismic tomographic model P1200. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **151**, 503–525.

69. Truhlík, V., Trísková, L., Smilauer, J., et al., 1999. Global empirical model of electron temperature in the outer ionosphere for period of high solar activity based on data of three intercosmos satellites. *Adv. Space Res.*, **25**, 163–169.

70. Trísková, L., Truhlík, V. and Smilauer, J., 2003. An empirical model of ion composition in the outer ionosphere. *Adv. Space Res.*, **31**, 653–663.

71. Truhlík, V., Trísková, L. and Smilauer, J., 2004. Variability of the topside thermal plasma parameters during a period of high solar activity for IRI. *Adv. Space Res.*, **34**, 1922–1925.

72. Truhlík, V., Trísková, L., Smilauer, J., et al., 2004. Ion temperature in the outer ionosphere - first version of a global empirical model. *Adv. Space Res.*, **34**, 1998–2003.

73. Trísková, L., Truhlík, V. and Smilauer, J., 2006. An empirical topside electron density model for calculation of absolute ion densities in IRI. *Adv. Space Res.*, **37**, 928-934.
- Martinec, Z., 1991. On the accuracy of method of condensation of the Earth's topography. *Man. Geod.*, **16**, 288-294.
 - 74. Grafarend, E.W. and Engels, J., 1993. The gravitational field of topographic-isostatic masses and the hypothesis of mass condensation. *Surv. Geophys.*, **140**, 495-524.
 - 75. Grafarend, E.W., 1994. What is a geoid? In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaníček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 3-32.
 - 76. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. The effect of lateral density variation on local geoid determination. *Bolletino di Geodesia e Scienze Affini*, **2**, 125-144.
 - 77. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
 - Čadek, O. and Martinec, Z., 1991. Spherical harmonic expansion of the Earth's crustal thickness up to degree and order 30. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **35**, 151-165.
 - 78. Cazenave, A. and Thoraval, C., 1994. Mantle dynamics constrained by degree 6 surface topography, seismic tomography, and geoid - inference on the origin of the south-pacific superswell. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **122**, 207-219.
 - 79. Zhang, S. X. and Yuen, D., 1994. Dynamical influences on the moment of inertia tensor from lateral viscosity variation inferred from seismic tomographic models. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **128**, 123-134.
 - 80. Matyska, C., 1994. Topographic masses and mass heterogeneities in the upper mantle. In: *Gravimetry and Space Techniques Applied to Geodynamics and Ocean Dynamics*, Geophys. Monograph, **82**, IUGG vol.17, 125-132.
 - 81. Grafarend, E.W., 1994. What is a geoid? In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaníček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 3-32.
 - 82. Le Stunff, Y. and Ricard, Y., 1995. Tomography and geoid due to lithospheric mass anomalies. *Geoph. J. Int.*, **122**, 982-990.
 - 83. Engels, J., Grafarend, E.W. and Sorcik, P., 1996. The gravitational field of topographic-isostatic masses and the hypothesis of mass condensation, Part II: the topographic-isostatic geoid. *Surv. Geophys.*, **17**, 41-66.
 - 84. Grafarend, E.W., Engels, J., Krumm, F. and Metzner, M., 1996. NN - Aus der Werkstatt eines Geodäten. Sonderdruck aus "Wechselwirkungen" - Jahrbuch 1995 der Universität Stuttgart, 40-53.
 - 85. Nataf, H. C. and Ricard, Y., 1996. 3SMAC - an a-priori tomographic model of the upper mantle based on geophysical modeling. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **95**, 101-122.
 - 86. Feistritzer, M., 1998. Geobestimmung mit geopotentiellen Knoten. Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 486**, München 1998.
 - 87. Dufumier, H. and Trampert, J., 1997. Contribution of seismic tomography in moment-tensor inversions using teleseismic surface-wave spectra. *B. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **87**, 114-122.
 - 88. Wen, L.X. and Anderson, D.L., 1997. Layered mantle convection: A model for geoid and topography. *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, **146**, 367-377.
 - 89. Bian, S. and Menz, J., 1998. Using piecewise linear interpolations in spherical harmonic analysis. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 473-481.
 - 90. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 520**, München.
 - 91. Kuhn, M., 2001. Density modelling for geoid determination. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 271-276.
 - 92. Kührtreiber, N. and Abd-Elmotaal, H.A., 2001. Gravimetric geoid computation for Austria using seismic Moho data. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 311-316.
 - 93. Abd-Elmotaal, H. A. and Kührtreiber, N., 2003. Geoid determination using adapted reference field, seismic Moho depths and variable density contrast. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 77-85.

94. Rhodes, M. and Davies J.H., 2004. Constructing a geodynamic a priori seismic (GAPS) velocity model of upper mantle heterogeneity. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **5**, Art. Q03008.
95. Engels, J., 2006. Zur Modellierung von Auflastdeformationen und induzierter Polwanderung. Technical report 2006.1, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, pp. 190.
96. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
97. Sjöberg, L.E., Bagherbandi, M., 2011. A method of estimating the Moho density contrast with a tentative application of EGM08 and CRUST2.0. *Acta Geophys.*, **59**, 502–525.
98. Bagherbandi, M., Sjöberg, L.E., 2011. Comparison of crustal thickness from two gravimetric-isostatic models and CRUST2.0. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 641–666.
- Martinec, Z., 1991. On the gravitational compensation of topographic masses. Associate Professor Thesis, Dept. of Geophysics, Faculty of Math.& Physics, Charles Univ., Prague, pp. 66.
 - 99. Grafarend, E.W., 1994. What is a geoid? In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaniček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 3–32.
 - Čadek, O., Martinec, Z. and Matyska, C., 1992. Spectral variational approach to the non-Newtonian Stokes problem in a spherical shell. *Computer Physics Communications*, **71**, 56–70.
 - 100. Málek, J., Růžicka, M. and Thäter, G., 1994. Fractal dimension, attractors and the Boussinesq approximation in three dimensions. *Acta Appl. Math.*, **37**, 83–97.
 - 101. Hron, J., Málek, J. and Turek, S., 2000. A numerical investigation of flows of shear-thinning fluids with applications to blood rheology. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fl.*, **32**, 863–879.
 - 102. Boling, G. and Yadong, S., 2003. The periodic initial value problem and initial value problem for the non-Newtonian Boussinesq approximation. *Applicable Analysis*, **82**, 787–808
 - Martinec, Z., 1992. The role of the irregularities of the Earth's topography on the tidally induced elastic stress distribution within the Earth. *Technical Report*, **16**, Institute of Geodesy, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, pp.48.
 - 103. Grafarend, E.W., Engels, J. and Varga, P., 1997. The spacetime gravitational field of a deformable body. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 11–30.
 - Martinec, Z., 1992. The static, potential free Love numbers for the homogeneous Earth's model bounded by an irregular surface. *Man. Geod.*, **17**, 186–200.
 - 104. Groten, E., 1994. Global tidal parameters. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **38**, 221–231.
 - Martinec, Z., 1993. A model of compensation of topographic masses. *Surv. Geophys.*, **14**, 525–535.
 - 105. Engels, J., Grafarend, E.W. and Sorcik, P., 1996. The gravitational field of topographic-isostatic masses and the hypothesis of mass condensation, Part II: the topographic-isostatic geoid. *Surv. Geophys.*, **17**, 41–66.
 - 106. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
 - 107. Sjöberg, L.E., Bagherbandi, M., 2011. A method of estimating the Moho density contrast with a tentative application of EGM08 and CRUST2.0. *Acta Geophys.*, **59**, 502–525.
 - 108. Bagherbandi, M., Sjöberg, L.E., 2011. Comparison of crustal thickness from two gravimetric-isostatic models and CRUST2.0. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 641–666.
 - Martinec, Z., 1993. Inversion Technique. In: *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation*, eds. P.Vaniček and N.Christou, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 125–145.
 - 109. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
 - Martinec, Z., Čadek, O., Matyska, C. and Hrdina, P., 1993: The Stokes problem with 3D Newtonian rheology in a spherical shell. *Computer Physics Communications*, **76**, 63–79.
 - 110. Forte, A. and Peltier, W.R., 1994. The kinematics and dynamics of poloidal-toroidal coupling in mantle flow: the importance of surface plates and lateral viscosity variations. *Adv. Geophys.*, **36**, 1–119.

111. Goździewski, K. and Maciejewski, A.J., 1995. On the gravitational fields of Pandora and Prometheus. *Earth, Moon and Planets*, **69**, 25–50.
112. Quartapelle, L. and Verri, M., 1995. On the spectral solution of the 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in spherical and cylindrical regions. *Com. Phys. Comm.*, **90**, 1–43.
113. Nedoma, J., 1988. *Numerical Modelling in Applied Geodynamics*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester etc.
114. Becker, T.W., 2006. On the effect of temperature and strain-rate dependent viscosity on global mantle flow, net rotation, and plate-driving forces. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **167**, 943–957.
115. Moucha, R., Forte, A.M., Mitrovica, J.X., Daradich, A., 2007 Lateral variations in mantle rheology: implications for convection related surface observables and inferred viscosity models. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **169**, 113–135.
- Martinec, Z., Matyska, C., Grafarend, E. W. and Vaníček, P., 1993. On Helmert’s 2nd condensation method. *Man. Geod.*, **18**, 417–421.
116. Véronneau, M., 1994. Free-air reduction over simplified earth model. Internal report, Geodetic Survey Division, Geomatics Canada, Dept. of Natural Resources, Ottawa, pp. 10.
117. Mainville, A., Véronneau, M., Forsberg, R. and Sideris, M.G., 1995. A comparison of geoid and quasigeoid modeling methods in rough topography. *Symposium 113: Gravity and Geoid*. Joint Symposium of the International Gravity Commission and the International Geoid Commission, eds. H. Sünnkel and I. Marson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
118. Sjöberg, L.E., 1995. The total terrain effect in the modified Stokes’ formula. *Symposium 113: Gravity and Geoid*. Joint Symposium of the International Gravity Commission and the International Geoid Commission, eds. H. Sünnkel and I. Marson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
119. Forsberg, R., 1997. Topographic effects in gravity field modelling for BVP. In: *Geodetic Boundary Value Problems in View of the Centimeter Geoid*, Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences, Vol. **65**, Springer-Verlag, 241–272.
120. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 1998. Terrain corrections to power H^3 in gravimetric geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 124–135.
121. Blitzkow, D., 1999. Toward a 10’ resolution geoid for South America: a comparison study. *Phys. Chem. Earth Pt.*, **24**, 33–39.
122. Smith, D. A. and Small, H. J., 1999. The CARIB97 high-resolution geoid height model for the Caribbean Sea. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 1–9.
123. Smith, D.A. and Milbert, D.G., 1999. The GEOID96 high resolution geoid height model for the United States. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 219–236.
124. Nahavandchi, H., 2000. The direct topographical correction in gravimetric geoid determination by the Stokes-Helmert method. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 488–496.
125. Wong, J. Ch., 2000. On Picard criterion and the well-posed nature of harmonic downward continuation. Ms. thesis, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
126. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 520**, München.
127. Smith, D.A., Robertson, D.S. and Milbert, D.G., 2001. Gravitational attraction of local crustal masses in spherical coordinates. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 783–795.
128. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
129. Jekeli, C. and Serpas, J.G., 2003. Review and numerical assessment of the direct topographical reduction in geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 226–239.
130. Amos, M.J. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Preparations for a new gravimetric geoid model of New Zealand, and some preliminary results, *New Zealand Surveyor*, **293**, 9–20.

131. Hackney, R.I. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the ‘gravity anomaly’. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **154**, 35–43.
132. Bajracharya, S., 2003. Terrain effects on geoid determination. The University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Report No. 20181, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 114.
133. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
134. Huang, J. and Véronneau, M., 2005. Applications of downward-continuation in gravimetric geoid modelling: case studies in Western Canada. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 135–145.
135. Martín, A., Anquela, A.B., Padín, J., Berné, J.L., 2005. Detection of local geoid deformations by gravity disturbances from GPS/gravimetric observations. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **49**, 43–62.
136. Eshagh, M., 2009. On satellite gravity gradiometry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy, Stockholm, pp. 221.
137. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
- Čadek, O., Ricard, Y., Martinec, Z. and Matyska, C., 1993: Comparison between Newtonian and non-Newtonian flow driven by internal loads. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **112**, 103–114.
138. Zhang, S. X. and Christensen, U., 1993. Some effects of lateral viscosity variations on geoid and surface velocities induced by density anomalies in the mantle. *Geophys. J. Inter.*, **114**, 531–547.
139. Forte, A. and Peltier, W.R., 1994. The kinematics and dynamics of poloidal-toroidal coupling in mantle flow: the importance of surface plates and lateral viscosity variations. *Advances in Geoph.*, **36**, 1–119.
140. Corrieu, V., Ricard, Y. and Froidevaux, C., 1994. Converting mantle topography into mass anomalies to predict the Earth’s radial viscosity. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **84**, 3–13.
141. van den Berg, A.P. and Yuen, D.A., 1995. Convectively induced transition in mantle rheological behavior. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **22**, 1549–1552.
142. King, S.D., 1995. The viscosity structure of the mantle, *U.S. National Report to IUGG 1991–1994, Reviews of Geophys. Suppl.*, **33**, 11–17.
143. Shen, Z.K., 1995. Oblique subduction of a Newtonian fluid slab. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **145**, 561–577.
144. Bercovici, D., 1995. On the purpose of toroidal motion in a convecting mantle. *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, **22**, 3107–3110.
145. Corrieu, V., 1995. Modèles dynamiques du manteau terrestre: observations et contraintes. Ph.D. dissertation, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, pp. 220.
146. Bercovici, D., 1996. Plate generation in a simple model of lithosphere-mantle flow with dynamic self-lubrication. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **144**, 41–51.
147. Kido, M., 1996. Earth’s viscosity and density structures derived from low and middle order geoid anomalies, Ph.D. Thesis, Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo.
148. Wen, L.X. and Anderson, D.L., 1997. Present-day plate motion constraint on mantle rheology and convection. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **B102**, 24639–24653.
149. Trompert, R. and Hansen, U., 1998. Mantle convection simulations with rheologies that generate plate-like behaviour. *Nature*, **395**, 686–689.
150. Kido, M. and Honda, S., 1998. Synthetic tests of geoid-viscosity inversion: A layered viscosity case. *Earth Planets Space*, **50**, 1055–1065.
151. Panasyuk, S.V. and Hager, B.H., 2000. Inversion for mantle viscosity profiles constrained by dynamic topography and the geoid, and their estimated errors. *Geophys. J. Inter.*, **143**, 821–836.
152. Zhu, T. and Feng, R., 2005. Nonlinear free thermal convection in a spherical shell: A variable viscosity model. *Acta Seismologica Sinica*, **18**, 206–217.
153. Zhu, T., Ma, Z.J., Feng, R., 2006. 3-D lateral variable viscosity mantle convection constrained by seismic wave velocity and its geodynamic implications. *Chinese J. Geophys.*, **49**, 1347–1358.
154. Becker, T.W., 2006. On the effect of temperature and strain-rate dependent viscosity on global mantle flow, net rotation, and plate-driving forces. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **167**, 943–957.

155. Moucha, R., Forte, A.M., Mitrovica, J.X, et al., 2007. Lateral variations in mantle rheology: implications for convection related surface observables and inferred viscosity models. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **169**, 113-135.
156. Becker, T.W., Faccenna, C., 2009. A review of the role of subduction dynamics for regional and global plate motions. In: *Frontiers in Earth Sciences*, 3-34.
157. Zhu, T., Wang, L.W., Chen, H.R., 2009. Effects of lateral viscosity variation on thermal convection in a spherical shell. *Chinese J. Geophys.*, **53**, 350-361.
158. Conrad, C.P., Behn, M.D., 2010. Constraints on lithosphere net rotation and asthenospheric viscosity from global mantle flow models and seismic anisotropy. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **11**, Art. Q05W05.
- Martinec, Z., 1993. Effect of lateral density variations of topographical masses in view of improving geoid model accuracy over Canada. Energy, Mines and Resources, Geomatics Canada, Geodetic Survey Division, Ottawa, Contract Report, No. 93-002, pp.112.
159. Vajda, P., 1995. Truncated geoid and the gravimetric inverse problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
160. Najafi, M., 1996. Contributions towards the computation of a precise geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
161. Pagiatakis, S. D. and Armenakis, C., 1998. Gravimetric geoid modelling with GIS, *International Geoid Service*, **8**, December 1998, 105-112.
162. Vaníček, P., Huang, J., Brink, W. and Novák, P., 1998. Preliminary investigations of the effect of topographical mass density variations on gravity and geoid in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. Energy, Mines and Resources, Geomatics Canada, Geodetic Survey Division, Ottawa, Progress Report.
163. Fraser, D., Pagiatakis, S. D. and Goodacre, A. K., 1998. In-situ rock density and terrain corrections to gravity observations. Proceedings of the 12th annual symposium on Geographic Information Systems, 6-9 April, 1998, Toronto, Canada.
164. Véronneau, M., 1999. Effect of anomalous topographical density on Helmert gravity. IUGG, XXII General Assembly, Birmingham abstracts, p. A.433.
165. Pagiatakis, S.D., Fraser, D., McEwen, K., Goodacre, A.K. and Véronneau, M., 1999. Topographic mass density and gravimetric geoid modelling, *Bolletino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata*, **40**, 189-194.
166. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
167. Novák, P., Kern, M., Schwarz, K.P. and Heck, B., 2001. The determination of the geoid from airborne gravity data. Dept. of Geomatics Engineering, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, pp.222.
168. Novák, P., Vaníček, P., Véronneau, M., Holmes, S. and Featherstone, W.E., 2001. On the accuracy of modified Stokes's integration in high-frequency gravimetric geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 644-654.
169. Huang, J., Vaníček, P., Pagiatakis, S.D. and Brink, W., 2001. Effect of topographical density on geoid in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 805-815.
170. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Precise geoid determination over Sweden using the Stokes-Helmert method and improved topographic corrections. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 74-88.
171. Véronneau, M., 2001. The Canadian Gravimetric Geoid Model of 2000 (CGG2000). Internal Report, Geodetic Survey Division, Natural Resources Canada, pp. 17.
172. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.

173. Novák, P., Kern, M., Schwarz, K.P. and Heck, B., 2003. Evaluation of band-limited topographical effects in airborne gravimetry. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 597–604.
174. Kuhn, M., 2003. Geoid determination with density hypothesis from isostatic models and geological information. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 50–65.
175. Vaníček, P., Janák, J. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Truncation of spherical convolution integrals with an isotropic kernel. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **47**, 455–465.
176. Tenzer, R., Vaníček, P. and Novák, P., 2003. Far-zone contributions to topographical effects in the Stokes-Helmert method of the geoid determination. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **47**, 467–480.
177. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
178. Ellmann, A., 2005. Two deterministic and three stochastic modifications of Stokes's formula: a case study for the Baltic countries. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 11–23.
179. Kiamehr, R., 2006. The impact of lateral density variation model in the determination of precise gravimetric geoid in mountainous areas: a case study of Iran. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **167**, 521–527.
180. Eshagh, M., 2009. On satellite gravity gradiometry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy, Stockholm, pp. 221.
181. Santos, M.C., Vaníček, P., Featherstone, W.E., Kingdon, R., Ellmann, A., Martin, B.-A., Kuhn, M., Tenzer, R., 2006. The relation between rigorous and Helmert's definitions of orthometric heights. *J. Geod.*, **80**, 691–704.
182. Kingdon, R., Vaníček, P., Santos, M., 2009. Modeling topographical density for geoid determination. *Canadian J. Earth Sci.*, **46**, 571–585.
- Engels, J., Grafarend, E. W., Keller, W., Martinec, Z., Sansó, F. and Vaníček, P., 1993: The geoid as an inverse problem to be regularized. In: *Inverse Problems: Principles and Applications in Geophysics, Technology and Medicine*, eds. G. Anger, R. Gorenflo, H. Jochmann, H. Moritz and W. Webers, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 122–167.
183. Holota, P., 1995. Two branches of the Newton potential and geoid. *Symposium 113: Gravity and Geoid. Joint Symposium of the International Gravity Commission and the International Geoid Commission*, eds. H. Sünkel and I. Marson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
184. Lehmann, R., 1995. The maximum entropy geoid - a solution for an internal level surface. *Symposium 113: Gravity and Geoid. Joint Symposium of the International Gravity Commission and the International Geoid Commission*, eds. H. Sünkel and I. Marson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
185. Sacerdote, F., 1995. Geodetic measurements and boundary-value problems for gravity potential - a brief introduction. *Section IV Bulletin IAG*, **1**, 44–54.
186. Feistritzer, M., 1998. Geoibestimmung mit geopotentiellen Koten. Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe C **486**, München.
187. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
188. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
- Martinec, Z., 1994. The density contrast at the Mohorovičić discontinuity. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **117**, 539–544.
189. Matyska, C., 1994. Topographic masses and mass heterogeneities in the upper mantle. In: *Gravimetry and Space Techniques Applied to Geodynamics and Ocean Dynamics*, Geophys. Monograph, **82**, IUGG vol.17, 125–132.
190. Gutscher, M.A., 1995. Crustal structure and dynamics in the Rhine graben and Alpine Foreland. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **122**, 617–636.
191. Engels, J., Grafarend, E.W. and Sorcik, P., 1996. The gravitational field of topographic-isostatic masses and the hypothesis of mass condensation, Part II: the topographic-isostatic geoid. *Surv. Geophys.*, **17**, 41–66.

192. Bump, H.A. and Sheehan, A.F., 1998. Crustal thickness variations across the northern Tien Shan from teleseismic receiver functions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **25**, 1055–1058.
193. Crawford, W.C., Webb, S.C. and Hildebrand, J.A., 1999. Constraints on melt in the lower crust and Moho at the East Pacific Rise, 9 degrees 48'N, using seafloor compliance measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **B104**, 2923–2939.
194. Grafarend, E.W., 2000. The time-varying gravitational potential field of a massive, deformable body. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **44**, 364–373.
195. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 520**, München.
196. Hope, J. and Eaton, D., 2002. Crustal structure beneath the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin: constraints from gravity and magnetic modelling. *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, **39**, 291–312.
197. Chambat, F. and Valette, B., 2005. Earth gravity up to second order in topography and density. *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, **151**, 89–106.
198. Coblenz, D.D., Libarkin, J.C., Chases, C.G., et al., 2007. Paleolithospheric structure revealed by continental geoid anomalies. *Tectonophys.*, **443**, 106–120.
199. Swieczak, M., Kozlovskaya E., Majdanski, M., et al., 2009. Interpretation of geoid anomalies in the contact zone between the East European Craton and the Palaeozoic Platform-II: Modelling of density in the lithospheric mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **177**, 334–346.
200. Cook, F.A., White, D.J., Jones, A.G., Eaton, D.W.S., Hall, J., Clowes, R.M., 2010. How the crust meets the mantle: Lithoprobe perspectives on the Mohorovič discontinuity and crust-mantle transition. *Canadian J. Earth Sci.*, **47**, 315–351.
201. Eshagh, M., Bagherbandi, M., Sjöberg, L.E., 2011. A combined global Moho model based on seismic and gravimetric data. *Acta Geod. Geophys. Hungarica*, **46**, 25–38.
202. Coblenz, D., Chase, C.G., Karlstrom, K.E., van Wijk, J., 2011. Topography, the geoid, and compensation mechanisms for the southern Rocky Mountains. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, **12**, No. Q04002.
203. Sjöberg, L.E., Bagherbandi, M., 2011. A method of estimating the Moho density contrast with a tentative application of EGM08 and CRUST2.0. *Acta Geophys.*, **59**, 502–525.
204. Kumar, N., Singh, A.P., Singh, B., 2011. Insights into the crustal structure and geodynamic evolution of the southern Granulite Terrain, India, from isostatic considerations. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **168**, 1781–1798.
205. Bagherbandi, M., Sjöberg, L.E., 2011. Comparison of crustal thickness from two gravimetric-isostatic models and CRUST2.0. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 641–666.
206. Steffen, R., Steffen, H., Jentzsch, G., 2011. A three-dimensional Moho depth model for the Tien Shan from EGM2008 gravity data. *Tectonics*, **30**, No. TC5019.
- Martinec, Z., 1994. The minimum depth of compensation of topographic masses. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **117**, 545–554.
 - 207. Matyska, C., 1994. Topographic masses and mass heterogeneities in the upper mantle. In: *Gravimetry and Space Techniques Applied to Geodynamics and Ocean Dynamics*, Geophys. Monograph, **82**, IUGG vol.17, 125–132.
 - 208. Engels, J., Grafarend, E.W. and Sorcik, P., 1996. The gravitational field of topographic-isostatic masses and the hypothesis of mass condensation, Part II: the topographic-isostatic geoid. *Surv. Geophys.*, **17**, 41–66.
 - 209. Kuhn, M., 1999. The influence of different crust models on the gravity field of the Earth, *Bolletino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata*, **40**, 555–562.
 - 210. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 520**, München.
 - 211. Coblenz, D.D., Libarkin, J.C., Chases, C.G., et al., 2007. Paleolithospheric structure revealed by continental geoid anomalies. *Tectonophys.*, **443**, 106–120.

212. Swieczak, M., Kozlovskaya E., Majdanski, M., et al., 2009. Interpretation of geoid anomalies in the contact zone between the East European Craton and the Palaeozoic Platform-II: Modelling of density in the lithospheric mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **177**, 334-346.
213. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
214. Coblenz, D., Chase, C.G., Karlstrom, K.E., van Wijk, J., 2011. Topography, the geoid, and compensation mechanisms for the southern Rocky Mountains. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, **12**, No. Q04002.
- Martinec, Z. and Vaníček, P., 1994. The indirect effect of topography in the Stokes-Helmert technique for a spherical approximation of the geoid. *Man. Geod.*, **19**, 213-219.
215. Harrie, L., 1994. Some specific problems in geoid determination. Ms. Sc. thesis, Department of Geodesy and Photogrammetry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, pp. 51
216. Sjöberg, L. E., 1995. On the quasigeoid to geoid separation, *Man. Geod.*, **20**, 182-192.
217. Najafi, M., 1996. Contributions towards the computation of a precise geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
218. Sjöberg, L. E. and Nahavandchi, H., 1999. On the indirect effect in the Stokes-Helmert method of geoid determination, *J. Geod.*, **73**, 87-93.
219. Sjöberg, L. E., 2000. Topographic effects by the Stokes-Helmert method of geoid and quasi-geoid determinations, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 255-268.
220. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
221. Wong, J. Ch., 2000. On Picard criterion and the well-posed nature of harmonic downward continuation. Ms. thesis, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
222. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. The effect of downward continuation of gravity anomaly to sea level in Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 796-804.
223. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Two different views of topographical and downward-continuation corrections in the Stokes-Helmert approach to geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 816-822.
224. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Precise geoid determination over Sweden using the Stokes-Helmert method and improved topographic corrections. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 74-88.
225. Featherstone, W.E., Kirby, J.F., Kearsley, A.H.W., et al., 2001. The AUSGeoid98 geoid model of Australia: data treatment, computations and comparisons with GPS-levelling data. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 313-330.
226. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. On the topographical effects of Helmert's method of condensation. In: *IV Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Mathematical Geodesy*, IAG Symposia, **122**, ed. B. Benciolini, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 40-47.
227. Bayoud, F.A., 2001. Some investigations on local geoid determination from airborne gravity data. Ms. Sc. thesis, University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 103.
228. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
229. Sjöberg, L.E. and Ågren, J., 2003. Some problems in the theory used for the NKG geoid model. In: *Proceedings of the 14th General Meeting of the Nordic Geodetic Commission*, eds. M. Poutanen and H. Suurmäki, Nordiska Kommissionen för Geodesi, Kirkkonummi, 2002, 121-130.
230. Bayoud, F.A. and Sideris, M., 2003. Two different methodologies for geoid determination from ground and airborne gravity data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 914-922.

231. Kuhn, M., 2003. Geoid determination with density hypothesis from isostatic models and geological information, *J. Geod.*, **77**, 50-65.
232. Sjöberg, L.E., 2003. A computational scheme to model the geoid by the modified Stokes formula without gravity reductions. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 423-432.
233. Hackney, R.I. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the 'gravity anomaly'. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **154**, 35-43.
234. Bajracharya, S., 2003. Terrain effects on geoid determination. The University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Report No. 20181, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 114.
235. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
236. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. The ellipsoidal corrections to the topographic geoid effect. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 804-808.
237. Sjöberg, L.E., 2005. A discussion on the approximations made in the practical implementation of the remove-compute-restore technique in regional geoid modelling. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 645-653.
238. Engels, J., 2006. Zur Modellierung von Auflastdeformationen und induzierter Polwanderung. Technical report 2006.1, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, pp. 190.
239. Novák, P., Grafarend, E.W., 2006. The effect of topographical and atmospheric masses on spaceborne gravimetric and gradiometric data. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **50**, 549-582.
240. Soltanpour, A., Nahavandchi, H., Ghazavi, K., 2007. Recovery of marine gravity anomalies from ERS1, ERS2 and ENVISAT satellite altimetry data for geoid computations over Norway. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **51**, 369-389.
241. Tziavos, I. N., Vergos, G. S., Grigoriadis, V. N., 2010. Investigation of topographic reductions and aliasing effects on gravity and the geoid over Greece based on various digital terrain models. *Surv. Geophys.*, **31**, 23-67.
- Martinec, Z. and Vaníček, P., 1994. Direct topographical effect of Helmert's condensation for a spherical approximation of the geoid, *Man. Geod.*, **19**, 257-268.
242. Harrie, L., 1994. Some specific problems in geoid determination. Ms. Sc. thesis, Department of Geodesy and Photogrammetry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, pp. 51
243. Sjöberg, L. E., 1995. On the quasigeoid to geoid separation. *Man. Geod.*, **20**, 182-192.
244. Najafi, M., 1996. Contributions towards the computation of a precise geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
245. Smith, D.A. and Milbert, D.G., 1999. The GEOID96 high resolution geoid height model for the United States. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 219-236.
246. Sjöberg, L. E., 2000. Topographic effects by the Stokes-Helmert method of geoid and quasi-geoid determinations, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 255-268.
247. Nahavandchi, H., 2000. The direct topographical correction in gravimetric geoid determination by the Stokes-Helmert method. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 488-496.
248. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
249. Wong, J. Ch., 2000. On Picard criterion and the well-posed nature of harmonic downward continuation. Ms. thesis, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
250. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. The effect of downward continuation of gravity anomaly to sea level in Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 796-804.
251. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Two different views of topographical and downward-continuation corrections in the Stokes-Helmert approach to geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 816-822.
252. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Precise geoid determination over Sweden using the Stokes-Helmert method and improved topographic corrections. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 74-88.

253. Featherstone, W.E., Kirby, J.F., Kearsley, A.H.W., et al., 2001. The AUSGeoid98 geoid model of Australia: data treatment, computations and comparisons with GPS-levelling data. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 313–330.
254. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. On the topographical effects of Helmert’s method of condensation. In: *IV Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Mathematical Geodesy*, IAG Symposia, **122**, ed. B. Benciolini, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 40–47.
255. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. Geoid determination over Ethiopia with emphasis on downward continuation of gravity anomalies. Ph.D. dissertation, Geodesy Report **1057**, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 72.
256. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. The effect of lateral density variation on local geoid determination. *Bolletino di Geodesia e Scienze Affini*, **2**, 125–144.
257. Bayoud, F.A., 2001. Some investigations on local geoid determination from airborne gravity data. Ms. Sc. thesis, University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 103.
258. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
259. Sjöberg, L.E. and Ågren, J., 2003. Some problems in the theory used for the NKG geoid model. In: *Proceedings of the 14th General Meeting of the Nordic Geodetic Commission*, eds. M. Poutanen and H. Suurmäki, Nordiska Kommissionen för Geodesi, Kirkkonummi, 2002, 121–130.
260. Bayoud, F.A. and Sideris, M., 2003. Two different methodologies for geoid determination from ground and airborne gravity data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 914–922.
261. Sjöberg, L.E., 2003. A solution of the downward continuation effect on the geoid determined by Stokes’ formula. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 94–100.
262. Jekeli, C. and Serpas, J.G., 2003. Review and numerical assessment of the direct topographical reduction in geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 226–239.
263. Hackney, R.I. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the ‘gravity anomaly’. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **154**, 35–43.
264. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
265. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
266. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. The ellipsoidal corrections to the topographic geoid effect. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 804–808.
267. Sjöberg, L.E., 2005. A discussion on the approximations made in the practical implementation of the remove-compute-restore technique in regional geoid modelling. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 645–653.
268. Novák, P. and Grafarend, E.W., 2005. Ellipsoidal representation of the topographical potential and its vertical gradient. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 691–706.
269. Engels, J., 2006. Zur Modellierung von Auflastdeformationen und induzierter Polwanderung. Technical report 2006.1, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, pp. 190.
270. Eshagh, M., 2009. On satellite gravity gradiometry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy, Stockholm, pp. 221.
271. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
- Vaníček, P. and Martinec, Z., 1994. The Stokes-Helmert scheme for the evaluation of a precise geoid. *Man. Geod.*, **19**, 119–128.
272. Harrie, L., 1994. Some specific problems in geoid determination. Ms. Sc. thesis, Department of Geodesy and Photogrammetry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, pp. 51

273. Petrovskaya, M., 1995. Basic relations for evaluating the global and regional quasigeoid heights on the base of gravity data and a global model of the geopotential. *Symposium 113: Gravity and Geoid*. Joint Symposium of the International Gravity Commission and the International Geoid Commission, eds. H.Sünkel and I.Marson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
274. Sjöberg, L.E., 1995. The total terrain effect in the modified Stokes' formula. *Symposium 113: Gravity and Geoid*. Joint Symposium of the International Gravity Commission and the International Geoid Commission, eds. H.Sünkel and I.Marson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
275. Najafi, M., 1996. Contributions towards the computation of a precise geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
276. Sjöberg, L. E., 1998. The exterior Airy/Heiskanen topographic-isostatic gravity potential, anomaly and the effect of analytical continuation in Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 654–662.
277. Nahavandchi, H., 1998. On some methods of downward continuation of mean free-air gravity anomaly, *International Geoid Service*, **8**, December 1998, 1–16.
278. Smith, D. A. and Milbert, D. G., 1999. The GEOID96 high-resolution geoid height model for the United States. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 219–236.
279. Sjöberg, L. E. and Nahavandchi, H., 1999. On the indirect effect in the Stokes–Helmert method of geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 87–93.
280. Nahavandchi, H., 2000. The direct topographical correction in gravimetric geoid determination by the Stokes-Helmert method. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 488–496.
281. Featherstone, W. E. and Kirby, J. F., 2000. The reduction of aliasing in gravity anomalies and geoid heights using digital terrain data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **141**, 204–212.
282. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
283. Wong, J. Ch., 2000. On Picard criterion and the well-posed nature of harmonic downward continuation. Ms. thesis, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
284. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 520**, München.
285. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Two different views of topographical and downward-continuation corrections in the Stokes-Helmert approach to geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 816–822.
286. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Precise geoid determination over Sweden using the Stokes-Helmert method and improved topographic corrections. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 74–88.
287. Featherstone, W.E., Kirby, J.F., Kearsley, A.H.W., et al., 2001. The AUSGeoid98 geoid model of Australia: data treatment, computations and comparisons with GPS-levelling data. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 313–330.
288. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. On the topographical effects of Helmert's method of condensation. In: *IV Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Mathematical Geodesy*, IAG Symposia, **122**, ed. B. Benciolini, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 40–47.
289. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. Geoid determination over Ethiopia with emphasis on downward continuation of gravity anomalies. Ph.D. dissertation, Geodesy Report **1057**, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 72.
290. Novák, P., Kern, M., Schwarz, K.P. and Heck., B., 2001. The determination of the geoid from airborne gravity data. Dept. of Geomatics Engineering, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, pp.222.
291. Véronneau, M., 2001. The Canadian Gravimetric Geoid Model of 2000 (CGG2000). Internal Report, Geodetic Survey Division, Natural Resources Canada, pp. 17.
292. Bayoud, F.A., 2001. Some investigations on local geoid determination from airborne gravity data. Ms. Sc. thesis, University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 103.

293. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
294. Bayoud, F.A. and Sideris, M., 2003. Two different methodologies for geoid determination from ground and airborne gravity data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 914-922.
295. Kuhn, M., 2003. Geoid determination with density hypothesis from isostatic models and geological information. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 50-65.
296. Jekeli, C. and Serpas, J.G., 2003. Review and numerical assessment of the direct topographical reduction in geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 226-239.
297. Heck, B., 2003. On Helmert's methods of condensation. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 155-170.
298. Novák, P., 2003. Geoid determination using one-step integration. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 193-206.
299. Serpas, J.G., 2003. Local and regional geoid determination from vector airborne gravimetry. The Ohio State University, Geodetic and GeoInformation Science, Report No. 468, Columbus, Ohio, pp. 105.
300. Bajracharya, S., 2003. Terrain effects on geoid determination. The University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Report No. 20181, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 114.
301. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
302. Čunderlík, R., 2004. The boundary element method applied to the Neumann geodetic boundary value problem. Ph.D. thesis, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Theoretical Geodesy, Bratislava, pp. 92.
303. Bajracharya, A. and Sideris, M.G., 2004. The Rudzki inversion gravimetric reduction scheme in geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 272-282.
304. Ågren, J., 2004. The analytical continuation bias in geoid determination using potential coefficients and terrestrial gravity data. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 314-332.
305. Huang, J. and Véronneau, M., 2005. Applications of downward-continuation in gravimetric geoid modelling: case studies in Western Canada. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 135-145.
306. Engels, J., 2006. Zur Modellierung von Auflastdeformationen und induzierter Polwanderung. Technical report 2006.1, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, pp. 190.
307. Lysaker, D.I., Omang, O.C., Pettersen, B.R., Solheim, D., 2007. Quasigeoid evaluation with improved levelled height data for Norway. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 617-627.
308. Jekeli, C., Yang, H.J., Kwon, J.H., 2009. Using gravity and topography-implied anomalies to assess data requirements for precise geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **83**, 1193-1202.
- Martinec, Z., 1995. Numerical stability of the least squares solution of the discrete altimetry gravimetry boundary-value problem for determination of the global gravity model. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **123**, 715-726.
 - 309. Lehmann, R., 1999. Studies in altimetry-gravimetry problems for geoid determination. *Phys. Chem. Earth Pt.*, **24**, 47-51.
 - 310. Lehmann, R., 1999. Boundary-value problems in the complex world of geodetic measurements. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 491-500.
 - 311. Lehmann, R., 2001. Numerical aspects of altimetry-gravimetry problems. In: *IV Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Mathematical Geodesy*, IAG Symposia, **122**, ed. B. Benciolini, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 126-136.
 - Martinec, Z., Vaníček, P., Mainville, A. and Véronneau, M., 1995. The effect of lake water on geoidal height. *Man. Geod.*, **20**, 193-203.
 - 312. Smith, D. A. and Milbert, D. G., 1999. The GEOID96 high-resolution geoid height model for the United States. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 219-236.
 - 313. Featherstone, W. E., 2000. Refinement of gravimetric geoid using GPS and leveling data. *J. Surv. Eng-Asce.*, **126**, 27-56.

314. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe C **520**, München.
315. Tziavos, I.N. and Featherstone, W.E., 2001. First results of using digital density data in gravimetric geoid computation in Australia. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 335–340.
316. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. The effect of lateral density variation on local geoid determination. *Bolletino di Geodesia e Scienze Affini*, **2**, 125–144.
317. Kuhn, M., 2003. Geoid determination with density hypothesis from isostatic models and geological information. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 50–65.
318. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. The effect on the geoid of lateral topographic density variations. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 34–39.
319. Kiamehr, R., 2006. The impact of lateral density variation model in the determination of precise gravimetric geoid in mountainous areas: a case study of Iran. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **167**, 521–527.
320. Eshagh, M., 2009. On satellite gravity gradiometry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy, Stockholm, pp. 221.
321. Sadiq, M., Ahmad, Z., Akhter, G., 2009. A study on the evaluation of the geoid-quasigeoid separation term over Pakistan with a solution of first and second order height terms. *Earth Planets Space*, **61**, 815–823.
322. Eshagh, M., 2009. The effect of lateral density variations of crustal and topographic masses on GOCE gradiometric data - a study in Iran and Fennoscandia. *Acta Geod. Geophys. Hungarica*, **44**, 399–418.
323. Eshagh, M., 2010. Comparison of two approaches for considering laterally varying density in topographic effect on satellite gravity gradiometric data. *Acta Geophys.*, **58**, 661–686.
324. Tenzer, R., Sirguey, P., Rattenbury, M., Nicolson, J., 2011. A digital rock density map of New Zealand. *Computers Geosciences*, **37**, 1181–1191.
- Vaníček, P., Najafi, M., Martinec, Z. and Harrie, L., 1995. Higher-degree reference field in the generalized Stokes-Helmert scheme for geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **70**, 176–182.
325. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 1998. Terrain corrections to power H^{*3} in gravimetric geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 124–135.
326. Nahavandchi, H., 1999. Terrain correction computations by spherical harmonics and integral formulas. *Phys. Chem. Earth Pt.*, **24**, 73–78.
327. Grafarend, E. W., Ardalan, A. and Sideris, M. G., 1999. The spheroidal fixed-free two-boundary-value problem for geoid determination (the spheroidal Bruns' transformation). *J. Geod.*, **73**, 513–533.
328. Nahavandchi, H., 2000. The direct topographical correction in gravimetric geoid determination by the Stokes-Helmert method. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 488–496.
329. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
330. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe C **520**, München.
331. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Precise geoid determination over Sweden using the Stokes-Helmert method and improved topographic corrections. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 74–88.
332. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
333. Nahavandchi, H., 2002. Two different methods of geoidal height determinations using a spherical harmonic representation of the geopotential, topographic corrections and the height anomaly–geoidal height difference. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 345–352.

334. Novák, P., Kern, M., Schwarz, K.P. and Heck, B., 2003. Evaluation of band-limited topographical effects in airborne gravimetry. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 597–604.
335. Novák, P., 2003. Geoid determination using one-step integration. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 193–206.
336. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
337. Heck, B., 2005. Comments and reply regarding Heck (2003) "On Helmert's methods of condensation" - Reply. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 458–461.
338. Kiamehr, R. and Sjoberg, L.E., 2005. Comparison of the qualities of recent global and local gravimetric geoid models in Iran. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **49**, 289–304.
339. Kiamehr, R., 2006. A strategy for determining the regional geoid by combining limited ground data with satellite-based global geopotential and topographical models: a case study of Iran. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 602–612.
340. Novák, P., Grafarend, E.W., 2006. The effect of topographical and atmospheric masses on spaceborne gravimetric and gradiometric data. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **50**, 549–582.
341. Najafi-Alamdari, M., Emadi, S.R., Moghtased-Azar, K., 2006. The ellipsoidal correction to the Stokes kernel for precise geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **80**, 675–689.
342. Huang, J., Veronneau, M., Mainville, A., 2008. Assessment of systematic errors in the surface gravity anomalies over North America using the GRACE gravity model. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **175**, 46–54.
343. Sadiq, M., Ahmad, Z., Akhter, G., 2009. A study on the evaluation of the geoid-quasigeoid separation term over Pakistan with a solution of first and second order height terms. *Earth Planets Space*, **61**, 815–823.
344. Tenzer, R., Hamayun, K., Vajda, P., 2009. Global maps of the CRUST 2.0 crustal components stripped gravity disturbances. *J. Geophys. Res., Solid Earth*, **114**, Article No: B05408.
345. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., Prutkin, I., et al., 2009. Far-zone contributions to the gravity field quantities by means of Molodensky's truncation coefficients. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **53**, 157–167.
346. Novák, P., 2010. Direct modeling of the gravitational field using harmonic series. *Acta Geodyn. Geomater.*, **7**, 35–42.
347. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., 2010. Effect of the long-wavelength topographical correction on the low-degree Earth's gravity field. *Gravity, Geoid and Earth Observation*, **135**, 355–360.
348. Tenzer, R., Novak, P., Vajda, P., Ellmann, A., Abdalla, A., 2011. Far-zone gravity field contributions corrected for the effect of topography by means of molodensky's truncation coefficients. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 55–71.
349. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., Gladkikh, V., 2011. On the accuracy of the bathymetry-generated gravitational field quantities for a depth-dependent seawater density distribution. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 609–626.
350. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., Vajda, P., Gladkikh, V., Hamayun H., 2012. Spectral harmonic analysis and synthesis of Earth's crust gravity field *Comput. Geosciences*, **16**, 193–207.
- Martinec, Z., 1995. Boundary-value problems for gravimetric determination of a precise geoid. DrSc. thesis, Faculty of Math.& Physics, Charles Univ., Prague, pp. 159.
351. Sun, W. and Vaníček, P., 1996. On the discrete problem of downward continuation of Helmert's gravity. In: *Techniques for local geoid determination*, Rep. of the Finnish Geodetic Institute, 96:2, eds. I.Tziavos and M.Vermeer, Helsinki, 29-34.
352. Seitz, K., 1999. Ellipsoidal and topographical effects in the scalar free geodetic boundary value problem. In: *Quo vadis geodesia ... ? Festschrift for Erik W. Grafarend to the occasion of his 60th birthday*, eds. F. Krumm and V. Schwarze, Technical Report No. 1999.6 of the Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, Stuttgart, 439–451.
353. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.

354. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. Geoid determination over Ethiopia with emphasis on downward continuation of gravity anomalies. Ph.D. dissertation, Geodesy Report **1057**, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 72.
355. Heck, B., 2003. On Helmert's methods of condensation. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 155–170.
- Martinec, Z., 1996. Stability investigations of a discrete downward continuation problem for geoid determination in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. *J. Geod.*, **70**, 805–828.
356. Sun, W. and Vaníček, P., 1998. On some problems of the downward continuation of the 5' x 5' mean Helmert gravity disturbances. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 411–420.
357. Wong, J. Ch., 2000. On Picard criterion and the well-posed nature of harmonic downward continuation. Ms. thesis, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
358. Kuhn, M., 2000. Geoidbestimmung unter Verwendung verschiedener Dichtehypothesen, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe **C 520**, München.
359. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. The effect of downward continuation of gravity anomaly to sea level in Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 796–804.
360. Huang, J., Pagiatakis, S.D. and Véronneau, M., 2001. Truncation of Poisson's integral in upward and downward continuations of the Earth's gravity. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 323–327.
361. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. Geoid determination over Ethiopia with emphasis on downward continuation of gravity anomalies. Ph.D. dissertation, Geodesy Report **1057**, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 72.
362. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
363. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
364. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
365. Tenzer, R., Vaníček, P., Santos, M., Featherstone, W.E., and Kuhn, M., 2005. The rigorous determination of orthometric heights. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 82–92.
366. Huang, J. and Véronneau, M., 2005. Applications of downward-continuation in gravimetric geoid modelling: case studies in Western Canada. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 135–145.
367. Garcia R.V. and Alejo, C.A., 2005. On the inversion of geodetic integrals defined over the sphere using 1-D FFT. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 331–340.
368. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., Moore, P., et al., 2006. Explicit formula for the geoid-quasigeoid separation. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **50**, 607–618.
369. Ellmann, A., Vaníček, P., 2007. UNB application of Stokes-Helmert's approach to geoid computation. *J. Geodyn.*, **43**, 200–213.
370. Soltanpour, A., Nahavandchi, H., Ghazavi, K., 2007. Recovery of marine gravity anomalies from ERS1, ERS2 and ENVISAT satellite altimetry data for geoid computations over Norway. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **51**, 369–389.
371. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., 2008. Conditionality of inverse solutions to discretised integral equations in geoid modelling from local gravity data. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **52**, 53–70.
372. Wang, Y.M., Roman, D.R., Saleh, J., 2008. Analytical Downward and Upward Continuation Based on the Method of Domain Decomposition and Local Functions. In: *6th Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Theoretical and Computational Geodesy*, **132**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 356–360.
373. Tenzer, R., Ellmann, A., 2009. On Evaluation of the Mean Gravity Gradient Within the Topography. In: *Observing Our Changing Earth*, IAG Symposia, **133**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 253–261.

374. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., Prutkin, I., et al., 2009. Far-zone contributions to the gravity field quantities by means of Molodensky's truncation coefficients. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **53**, 157–167.
375. Tenzer, R., Novak, P., Vajda, P., Ellmann, A., Abdalla, A., 2011. Far-zone gravity field contributions corrected for the effect of topography by means of molodensky's truncation coefficients. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 55–71.
376. Goli, M., Najafi-Alamdari, M., Vanicek, P., 2011. Numerical behaviour of the downward continuation of gravity anomalies. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 191–202.
- Martinec, Z. and Vaníček, P., 1996. Formulation of the boundary-value problem for geoid determination with a higher-degree reference field, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **126**, 219–228.
377. Featherstone, W.E., 1999. Tests of two forms of Stokes's integral using a synthetic gravity field based on spherical harmonics. In: Quo vadis geodesia ... ? Festschrift for Erik W. Grafarend to the occasion of his 60th birthday, eds. F. Krumm and V. Schwarze, Technical Report No. 1999.6 of the Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, Stuttgart, 101–111.
378. Evans, J. D. and Featherstone, W., 2000. Improved convergence rates for the truncation error in gravimetric geoid determination, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 239–248.
379. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
380. Featherstone, W.E., Kirby, J.F., Kearsley, A.H.W., et al., 2001. The AUSGeoid98 geoid model of Australia: data treatment, computations and comparisons with GPS-levelling data. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 313–330.
381. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
382. Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Software for computing five existing types of deterministically modified integration kernel for gravimetric geoid determination. *Comput. Geosci*, **29**, 183–193.
383. Featherstone, W.E., Holmes, S.A., Kirby, J.F., et al., 2004. Comparison of remove-compute-restore and University of New Brunswick techniques to geoid determination over Australia, and inclusion of Wiener-type filters in reference field contribution. *J. Surv. Eng.*, **130**, 40–47
384. Novák, P., Kostelecký, J., Klokočník, J., 2009. Testing global geopotential models through comparison of a local quasi-geoid model with GPS/leveling data *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **53**, 39–60.
- Martinec, Z., Vaníček, P., Mainville, A. and Véronneau, M., 1996. Evaluation of topographical effects in precise geoid computation from densely sampled heights. *J. Geod.*, **70**, 746–754.
385. Smith, D. A. and Milbert, D. G., 1999. The GEOID96 high-resolution geoid height model for the United States. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 219–236.
386. Kirby, J. F. and Featherstone, W. E., 1999. Terrain correcting Australian gravity observations using the national digital elevation model and the fast Fourier transformation. *Aust. J. Earth Sci.*, **46**, 555–562.
387. Featherstone, W. E. and Kirby, J. F., 2000. The reduction of aliasing in gravity anomalies and geoid heights using digital terrain data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **141**, 204–212.
388. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
389. Smith, D.A., Robertson, D.S. and Milbert, D.G., 2001. Gravitational attraction of local crustal masses in spherical coordinates. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 783–795.
390. Tsoulis, D., 2001. Terrain correction computations for a densely sampled DTM in the Bavarian Alps. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 291–307.

391. Featherstone, W.E., Kirby, J.F., Kearsley, A.H.W., et al., 2001. The AUSGeoid98 geoid model of Australia: data treatment, computations and comparisons with GPS-levelling data. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 313–330.
392. Smith, D.A., 2002. Computing components of the gravity field induced by distant topographic masses and condensed masses over the entire Earth using the 1-D FFT approach. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 150–168.
393. Featherstone, W.E., Kirby, J.F., 2002. New high-resolution grid of gravimetric terrain corrections over Australia. *Aust. J. Earth Sci.*, **49**, 773–774.
394. Hackney, R.I. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the ‘gravity anomaly’. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **154**, 35–43.
395. Amos, M.J. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Preparations for a new gravimetric geoid model of New Zealand, and some preliminary results, *New Zealand Surveyor*, **293**, 9–20.
396. Bajracharya, S., 2003. Terrain effects on geoid determination. The University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Report No. 20181, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 114.
397. Ardalan, A.A. and Safari, A., 2004. Ellipsoidal terrain correction based on multi-cylindrical equal-area map projection of the reference ellipsoid. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 114–123.
398. Heck, B., Seitz, K., 2007. A comparison of the tesseroid, prism and point-mass approaches for mass reductions in gravity field modelling. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 121–136.
399. Amos, M.J., Featherstone, W.E., 2009. Unification of New Zealand’s local vertical datums: iterative gravimetric quasigeoid computations. *J. Geod.*, **83**, 57–68.
400. Tsoulis, D., Novák, P., Kadlec, M., 2009. Evaluation of precise terrain effects using high-resolution digital elevation models. *J. Geophys. Res., Solid Earth*, **114**, Article No: B02404
- Vaníček, P., Sun, W., Ong, P., Martinec, Z., Vajda, P. and Horst, B., 1996. Downward continuation of Helmert’s gravity, *J. Geod.*, **71**, 21–34.
401. Sjöberg, L. E., 1998. The exterior Airy/Heiskanen topographic-isostatic gravity potential, anomaly and the effect of analytical continuation in Stokes’ formula. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 654–662.
402. Nahavandchi, H., 1998. On some methods of downward continuation of mean free-air gravity anomaly, *International Geoid Service*, **8**, December 1998, 1–16.
403. Smith, D. A. and Milbert, D. G., 1999. The GEOID96 high-resolution geoid height model for the United States. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 219–236.
404. Grafarend, E. W., Ardalan, A. and Sideris, M. G., 1999. The spheroidal fixed-free two-boundary-value problem for geoid determination (the spheroidal Bruns’ transformation). *J. Geod.*, **73**, 513–533.
405. Sjöberg, L. E., 2000. Topographic effects by the Stokes-Helmert method of geoid and quasi-geoid determinations, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 255–268.
406. Nahavandchi, H., 2000. The direct topographical correction in gravimetric geoid determination by the Stokes-Helmert method. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 488–496.
407. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
408. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Two different views of topographical and downward-continuation corrections in the Stokes-Helmert approach to geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 816–822.
409. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Precise geoid determination over Sweden using the Stokes-Helmert method and improved topographic corrections. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 74–88.
410. Huang, J., Pagiatakis, S.D. and Véronneau, M., 2001. Truncation of Poisson’s integral in upward and downward continuations of the Earth’s gravity. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 323–327.
411. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. Geoid determination over Ethiopia with emphasis on downward continuation of gravity anomalies. Ph.D. dissertation, Geodesy Report **1057**, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 72.

412. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
413. Novák, P. and Heck, B., 2002. Downward continuation and geoid determination based on band-limited airborne gravity data. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 269–278.
414. Jekeli, C. and Serpas, J.G., 2003. Review and numerical assessment of the direct topographical reduction in geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 226–239.
415. Heck, B., 2003. On Helmert’s methods of condensation. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 155–170.
416. Novák, P., 2003. Geoid determination using one-step integration. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 193–206.
417. Hackney, R.I. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the ‘gravity anomaly’. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **154**, 35–43.
418. Serpas, J.G., 2003. Local and regional geoid determination from vector airborne gravimetry. The Ohio State University, Geodetic and GeoInformation Science, Report No. 468, Columbus, Ohio, pp. 105.
419. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
420. Nahavandchi, H., Soltanpour, A. and Nyrenes, E., 2005. A new gravimetric geoidal height model over Norway computed by the least-squares modification parameters. In: *Gravity, Geoid and Space Missions*, IAG Symposia, **129**, eds. C. Jekeli, L. Bastos, J. Fernandes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 191–196.
421. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. The ellipsoidal corrections to the topographic geoid effect. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 804–808.
422. Huang, J. and Véronneau, M., 2005. Applications of downward-continuation in gravimetric geoid modelling: case studies in Western Canada. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 135–145.
423. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., Moore, P., et al., 2006. Explicit formula for the geoid-quasigeoid separation. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **50**, 607–618.
424. Vajda, P., Ellmann, A., Meurers, B., et al., 2008. Gravity disturbances in regions of negative heights: A reference quasi-ellipsoid approach. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **52**, 35–52.
425. Vermeer, M., 2008. Comment on Sjöberg (2006) ”The topographic bias by analytical continuation in physical geodesy” *J Geod* 81(5): 345-350. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 445–450.
426. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
- Martinec, Z., 1997. Spectral-finite-element approach to two-dimensional electromagnetic induction in a spherical earth, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **130**, 583–594.
 - 427. Weiss, C.J. and Everett, M.E., 1998. Geomagnetic induction in a heterogeneous sphere: Fully three-dimensional test computations and the response of a realistic distribution of oceans and continents, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **135**, 650–662.
 - 428. Zyserman, F. I. and Santos, J. E., 2000. Parallel finite element algorithm with domain decomposition for three-dimensional magnetotelluric modelling. *J. Appl. Geophys.*, **44**, 337–351.
 - 429. Chou, W.C, Matsumoto, R. and Tajima, F., 2000. Simulational modeling of time-domain magnetic induction using parallel computational schemes: (I) in the Cartesian coordinates. *Comput. Phys. Comm.*, **131**, 26–40.
 - 430. Chou, W.C, Matsumoto, R. and Tajima, F., 2001. Simulational modeling of time-domain magnetic induction using parallel computational schemes: (II) in the spherical coordinates. *Comput. Phys. Comm.*, **138**, 175–191.
 - Martinec, Z. and Grafarend, E.W., 1997. Solution to the Stokes boundary-value problem on an ellipsoid of revolution. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.* **41**, 103-129.
 - 431. Ritter, S., 1998. The nullfield method for the ellipsoidal Stokes problem. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 101–106.
 - 432. Ritter, S., 1998. On a class of Robin boundary value problems in physical geodesy. *J. Math. Phys.*, **39**, 3916–3926.

433. Svensson, S. L., 1999. Map projections and boundary problems. In: Quo vadis geodesia ... ? Festschrift for Erik W. Grafarend to the occasion of his 60th birthday, eds. F. Krumm and V. Schwarze, Technical Report No. 1999.6 of the Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, Stuttgart, 473–478.
434. Lehmann, R., 1999. Boundary-value problems in the complex world of geodetic measurements. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 491–500.
435. Fei, Z. L. and Sideris, M., 2000. A new method for computing the ellipsoidal correction for Stokes's formula, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 223–231.
436. Huang, J., Vaníček, P. and Novák, P., 2000. An alternative algorithm to FFT for the numerical evaluation of Stokes's integral. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **44**, 374–380.
437. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. Geoid determination over Ethiopia with emphasis on downward continuation of gravity anomalies. Ph.D. dissertation, Geodesy Report **1057**, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 72.
438. Yurkina, M.I. and Pick, M., 2002. To Pizzetti's theory of the level ellipsoid. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **46**, 435–454.
439. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
440. Sjöberg, L.E., 2003. Ellipsoidal corrections to order e^2 of geopotential coefficients and Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 139–147.
441. Huang, J., Véronneau, M. and Pagiatakis, S.D., 2003. On the ellipsoidal correction to the spherical Stokes solution of the gravimetric geoid. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 171–181.
442. Heck, B. and Seitz, K., 2003. Solutions of the linearized geodetic boundary value problem for an ellipsoidal boundary to order e^3 . *J. Geod.*, **77**, 182–192.
443. Hipkin, R.G., 2004. Ellipsoidal geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 167–179.
444. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. A spherical harmonic representation of the ellipsoidal correction to the modified Stokes formula. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 180–186.
445. Ellmann, A., 2005. A numerical comparison of different ellipsoidal corrections to Stokes' formula. In: *Window on the Future of Geodesy*, IAG Symposia, **128**, 409–414.
446. Najafi-Alamdari, M., Emadi, S.R., Moghtased-Azar, K., 2006. The ellipsoidal correction to the Stokes kernel for precise geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **80**, 675–689.
- Martinec, Z. and Grafarend, E. W., 1997. Construction of Green's function to the external Dirichlet boundary-value problem for the Laplace equation on an ellipsoid of revolution. *J. Geod.*, **71**, 562–570.
447. Freedden, W. and Michel, V., 1999. Constructive approximation and numerical methods in geodetic research today – an attempt at a categorization based on an uncertainty principle. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 452–465.
448. Yu, J., Jekeli, C. and Zhu, M., 2003. Analytical solutions of the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary-value problems with an ellipsoidal boundary. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 653–667.
449. Novák, P., 2003. Geoid determination using one-step integration. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 193–206.
450. Yu, J.H., 2004. The integral solution of the Dirichlet's boundary value problem on the ellipsoid interface with the accuracy of $O(T-2)$. *Chinese J. Geoph.*, **47**, 75–80.
451. Ellmann, A., Vaníček, P., 2007. UNB application of Stokes-Helmert's approach to geoid computation. *J. Geodyn.*, **43**, 200–213.
452. Ardalán, A.A., Karimi, R., Bilker-Koivula, M., 2010. An overdetermined geodetic boundary value problem approach to telluroid and quasi-geoid computations. *J. Geod.*, **84**, 97–104.
453. Ardalán, A.A., Karimi, R., Poutanen, M., 2010. A bias-free geodetic boundary value problem approach to height datum unification. *J. Geod.*, **84**, 123–134.

- Martinec, Z. and Matyska, C., 1997. On the solvability of the Stokes pseudo-boundary-value problem for geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **71**, 103–112.
- 454. Najafi, M., 1996. Contributions towards the computation of a precise geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
- 455. Lehmann, R., 1999. Boundary-value problems in the complex world of geodetic measurements. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 491–500.
- 456. Fei, Z. L. and Sideris, M., 2000. A new method for computing the ellipsoidal correction for Stokes’s formula, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 223–231.
- Martinec, Z., 1998. Geomagnetic induction in multiple eccentrically nested spheres. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **132**, 96–110.
- 457. Weiss, C.J. and Everett, M.E., 1998. Geomagnetic induction in a heterogeneous sphere: Fully three-dimensional test computations and the response of a realistic distribution of oceans and continents, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **135**, 650–662.
- 458. Uyeshima, M. and Schultz, A., 2000. Geoelectromagnetic induction in a heterogeneous sphere: a new three-dimensional forward solver using a conservative staggered-grid finite difference method, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **140**, 636–650.
- 459. Kelbert, A., Egbert, G.D., Schultz, A., 2008. Non-linear conjugate gradient inversion for global EM induction: resolution studies. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **173**, 365–381.
- Martinec, Z., 1998. Construction of Green’s function to the Stokes boundary-value problem with ellipsoidal corrections in the boundary condition. *J. Geod.*, **72**, 460–472.
- 460. Grafarend, E. W., Ardalan, A. and Sideris, M. G., 1999. The spheroidal fixed-free two-boundary-value problem for geoid determination (the spheroidal Bruns’ transformation). *J. Geod.*, **73**, 513–533.
- 461. Fei, Z. L. and Sideris, M., 2000. A new method for computing the ellipsoidal correction for Stokes’s formula, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 223–231.
- 462. Ardalan, A., Grafarend, E.W. and Sideris, M.G., 2001. The spheroidal Stokes boundary value problem. In: *IV Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Mathematical Geodesy*, IAG Symposia, **122**, ed. B. Benciolini, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 111–121.
- 463. Yu, J., Jekeli, C. and Zhu, M., 2003. Analytical solutions of the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary-value problems with an ellipsoidal boundary. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 653–667.
- 464. Heck, B. and Seitz, K., 2003. Solutions of the linearized geodetic boundary value problem for an ellipsoidal boundary to order e^3 . *J. Geod.*, **77**, 182–192.
- 465. Hipkin, R.G., 2004. Ellipsoidal geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 167–179.
- 466. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. A spherical harmonic representation of the ellipsoidal correction to the modified Stokes formula. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 180–186.
- 467. Claessens, S.J., 2005. New relations among associated Legendre functions and spherical harmonics. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 398–406.
- 468. Najafi-Alamdari, M., Emadi, S.R., Moghtased-Azar, K., 2006. The ellipsoidal correction to the Stokes kernel for precise geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **80**, 675–689.
- Martinec, Z., 1998. Boundary-Value Problems for Gravimetric Determination of a Precise Geoid, Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences, Vol. **73**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- 469. Pagiatakis, S.D., Fraser, D., McEwen, K., Goodacre, A.K. and Véronneau, M., 1999. Topographic mass density and gravimetric geoid modelling, *Bolletino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata*, **40**, 189–194.
- 470. Lehmann, R., 1999. Boundary-value problems in the complex world of geodetic measurements. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 491–500.
- 471. Grafarend, E. W., Ardalan, A. and Sideris, M. G., 1999. The spheroidal fixed-free two-boundary-value problem for geoid determination (the spheroidal Bruns’ transformation). *J. Geod.*, **73**, 513–533.
- 472. Lehmann, R., 2000. Altimetry-gravimetry problems with free vertical datum, *J. Geod.*, **74**, 327–334.

473. Grafarend, E.W., 2000. The time-varying gravitational potential field of a massive, deformable body. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **44**, 364–373.
474. Novák, P., 2000. Evaluation of gravity data for the Stokes-Helmert solution of the geodetic boundary-value problem. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
475. Wong, J. Ch., 2000. On Picard criterion and the well-posed nature of harmonic downward continuation. Ms. thesis, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
476. Novák, P., Kern, M., Schwarz, K.P., 2001. Numerical studies on the harmonic downward continuation of band-limited airborne gravity. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **45**, 327–345.
477. Sun, W. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Convergence and optimal truncation of binomial expansions used in isostatic compensations and terrain corrections. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 627–636.
478. Smith, D.A., Robertson, D.S. and Milbert, D.G., 2001. Gravitational attraction of local crustal masses in spherical coordinates. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 783–795.
479. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. The effect of downward continuation of gravity anomaly to sea level in Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 796–804.
480. Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Topographic and atmospheric corrections of gravimetric geoid determination with special emphasis on the effects of harmonics of degrees zero and one. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 283–290.
481. Grafarend, E.W., 2001. The spherical horizontal and spherical vertical boundary value problem – vertical deflections and geoidal undulations – the completed Meissl diagram. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 363–390.
482. Ardalan, A., Grafarend, E.W. and Sideris, M.G., 2001. The spheroidal Stokes boundary value problem. In: *IV Hotine-Marussi Symposium on Mathematical Geodesy*, IAG Symposia, **122**, ed. B. Benciolini, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 111–121.
483. Groten, E., 2001. A discussion of fundamental constants in view of geodetic reference systems. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 21–27.
484. Vaníček, P., Janák, J. and Huang, J., 2001. Mean vertical gradient of gravity. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 259–262.
485. Holota, P., 2001. Variational methods in the recovery of the gravity field – Galerkin's matrix for an ellipsoidal domain. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 277–283.
486. Ardalan, A. and Grafarend, E.W., 2001. High resolution regional geoid computation. In: *Gravity, Geoid, and Geodynamics 2000*, IAG Symposia, **123**, ed. M.G. Sideris, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 301–309.
487. Hunegnaw, A., 2001. Geoid determination over Ethiopia with emphasis on downward continuation of gravity anomalies. Ph.D. dissertation, Geodesy Report **1057**, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 72.
488. Novák, P., Kern, M., Schwarz, K.P. and Heck, B., 2001. The determination of the geoid from airborne gravity data. Dept. of Geomatics Engineering, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, pp.222.
489. Véronneau, M., 2001. The Canadian Gravimetric Geoid Model of 2000 (CGG2000). Internal Report, Geodetic Survey Division, Natural Resources Canada, pp. 17.
490. Bayoud, F.A., 2001. Some investigations on local geoid determination from airborne gravity data. Ms. Sc. thesis, University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, Calgary, Alberta, pp. 103.
491. Huang, J., 2002. Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the Earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.

492. Smith, D.A., 2002. Computing components of the gravity field induced by distant topographic masses and condensed masses over the entire Earth using the 1-D FFT approach. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 150–168.
493. Novák, P. and Heck, B., 2002. Downward continuation and geoid determination based on band-limited airborne gravity data. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 269–278.
494. Sun, W., 2002. A formula for gravimetric terrain corrections using powers of topographic height. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 399–406.
495. Kuroishi, Y., 2002. On the application of downward continuation of surface gravity onto the reference ellipsoid, to the geoid determination in mountainous areas. Technical report 2002.2, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Stuttgart University, pp. 29.
496. Bayoud, F.A. and Sideris, M., 2003. Two different methodologies for geoid determination from ground and airborne gravity data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 914–922.
497. Kuhn, M., 2003. Geoid determination with density hypothesis from isostatic models and geological information. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 50–65.
498. Sjöberg, L.E., 2003. A solution of the downward continuation effect on the geoid determined by Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 94–100.
499. Sjöberg, L.E., 2003. Ellipsoidal corrections to order e^2 of geopotential coefficients and Stokes' formula. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 139–147.
500. Heck, B. and Seitz, K., 2003. Solutions of the linearized geodetic boundary value problem for an ellipsoidal boundary to order e^3 . *J. Geod.*, **77**, 182–192.
501. Novák, P., 2003. Geoid determination using one-step integration. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 193–206.
502. Novák, P., 2003. Optimal model for geoid determination from airborne gravity. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **47**, 1–36.
503. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
504. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
505. Čunderlík, R., 2004. The boundary element method applied to the Neumann geodetic boundary value problem. Ph.D. thesis, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Theoretical Geodesy, Bratislava, pp. 92.
506. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. The ellipsoidal corrections to the topographic geoid effect. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 804–808.
507. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. The effect on the geoid of lateral topographic density variations. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 34–39.
508. Ardalan, A.A., Grafarend, E.W., 2004. High-resolution regional geoid computation without applying Stokes' formula: a case study of the Iranian geoid. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 138–156.
509. Sjöberg, L.E., 2004. A spherical harmonic representation of the ellipsoidal correction to the modified Stokes formula. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 180–186.
510. Ågren, J., 2004. The analytical continuation bias in geoid determination using potential coefficients and terrestrial gravity data. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 314–332.
511. Novák, P. and Grafarend, E.W., 2005. Ellipsoidal representation of the topographical potential and its vertical gradient. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 691–706.
512. Sjöberg, L.E., 2005. A discussion on the approximations made in the practical implementation of the remove-compute-restore technique in regional geoid modelling. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 645–653.
513. Tenzer, R., Vaníček, P., Santos, M., Featherstone, W.E., and Kuhn, M., 2005. The rigorous determination of orthometric heights. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 82–92.
514. Huang, J. and Véronneau, M., 2005. Applications of downward-continuation in gravimetric geoid modelling: case studies in Western Canada. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 135–145.
515. Janák, J., Vaníček, P., 2005. Mean free-air gravity anomalies in the mountains. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **49**, 31–42.

516. Heck, B., Wild, F., 2005. Topographic-isostatic reductions in satellite gravity gradiometry based on a generalized condensation model. *Window on the Future of Geodesy*, Int.Assoc.Geod.Symp., **128**, 294–299.
517. Freeden, W., Mayer, C., 2006. Multiscale solution for the Molodensky problems on regular telluroidal surfaces. *Acta Geod. Geoph. Hung.*, **41**, 55–86.
518. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., Moore, P., Vajda, P., 2006. Atmospheric effects in the derivation of geoid-generated gravity anomalies. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **50**, 583–593.
519. Sjöberg, L.E., 2006. A refined conversion from normal height to orthometric height. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **50**, 595–606.
520. Sjöberg, L.E., 2006. A new technique to determine geoid and orthometric heights from satellite positioning and geopotential numbers *J. Geod.*, **80**, 304–312.
521. Najafi-Alamdari, M., Emadi, S.R., Moghtased-Azar, K., 2006. The ellipsoidal correction to the Stokes kernel for precise geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **80**, 675–689.
522. Santos, M.C., Vaníček, P., Featherstone, W.E., Kingdon, R., Ellmann, A., Martin, B.-A., Kuhn, M., Tenzer, R., 2006. The relation between rigorous and Helmert's definitions of orthometric heights. *J. Geod.*, **80**, 691–704.
523. Novák, P., Grafarend, E.W., 2006. The effect of topographical and atmospheric masses on spaceborne gravimetric and gradiometric data. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **50**, 549–582.
524. Heck, B., Seitz, K., 2007. A comparison of the tesseroid, prism and point-mass approaches for mass reductions in gravity field modelling. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 121–136.
525. Sjöberg, L.E., 2007. The topographic bias by analytical continuation in physical geodesy. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 345–350.
526. Soltanpour, A., Nahavandchi, H., Ghazavi, K., 2007. Recovery of marine gravity anomalies from ERS1, ERS2 and ENVISAT satellite altimetry data for geoid computations over Norway. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **51**, 369–389.
527. Ellmann, A., Vaníček, P., 2007. UNB application of Stokes-Helmert's approach to geoid computation. *J. Geodyn.*, **43**, 200–213.
528. Makhloof, A.A., Ilk, K.H., 2008. Effects of topographic-isostatic masses on gravitational functionals at the Earth's surface and at airborne and satellite altitudes. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 93–111.
529. Makhloof, A.A., Ilk, K.H., 2008. Far-zone effects for different topographic-compensation models based on a spherical harmonic expansion of the topography. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 613–635.
530. Wild-Pfeiffer, F., 2008. A comparison of different mass elements for use in gravity gradiometry. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 637–653.
531. Vajda, P., Ellmann, A., Meurers, B., Vaníček, P., Novák, P., Tenzer, R., 2008. Global ellipsoid-referenced topographic, bathymetric and stripping corrections to gravity disturbance. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **52**, 19–34.
532. Tenzer, R., Novák, P., 2008. Conditionality of inverse solutions to discretised integral equations in geoid modelling from local gravity data. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **52**, 53–70.
533. Zhu, L., Jekeli, C., 2009. Gravity gradient modeling using gravity and DEM. *J. Geod.*, **83**, 557–567.
534. Barthelmes, F., 2009. Definition of functionals of the geopotential and their calculation from spherical harmonic models. Scientific Technical Report STR09/02, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 32.
535. Kingdon, R., Vaníček, P., Santos, M., 2009. Modeling topographical density for geoid determination. *Canadian J. Earth Sci.*, **46**, 571–585.
536. Tziavos, I. N., Vergos, G. S., Grigoriadis, V. N., 2010. Investigation of topographic reductions and aliasing effects on gravity and the geoid over Greece based on various digital terrain models. *Surv. Geophys.*, **31**, 23–67.
537. Bagherbandi, M., 2011. An isostatic earth crustal model. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy & Geoinformatics, Stockholm, pp. 207.
- Martinec, Z. and Wolf, D., 1998. Explicit form of the propagator matrix for a multi-layered, incompressible viscoelastic sphere. *Sci. Techn. Rep. GFZ Potsdam*, STR98/08.

538. Wiczerkowski, K., 1999. Gravito-Viskoelastodynamik für verallgemeinerte Rheologien mit Anwendungen auf den Jupitermond Io und die Erde, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Reihe C **515**, München.
539. Riva, R.E.M. and Vermeersen, B., 2002. Approximation method for high-degree harmonics in normal mode modelling. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **151**, 309–313.
540. Thoma, M., 2003. Materiell und lokal inkompressible viskoelastische Erdmodelle: Theorie und Anwendungen in der glazialen Isostasie. Ph.D. thesis, Geodetic Department of Stuttgart University, Stuttgart.
541. Riva, R. E. M., 2004. *Crustal rheology and postseismic deformation: modeling and application to the Apennines*. Delft University Press, Amsterdam, pp. 126.
542. Sabadini, R. and Vermeersen, B., 2004. *Global Dynamics of the Earth. Applications of Normal Mode Relaxation Theory to Solid-Earth Geophysics*. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Martinec, Z., 1999. Spectral-finite element approach to three-dimensional electromagnetic induction in a spherical Earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **136**, 229–250.
543. Everett, M. E., 1999. Modeling 3-D induction effects in satellite data. 2nd International Symp. on 3-D Electromagnetics, Salt Lake City, UT, October 27-29, 1999.
544. Uyeshima, M. and Schultz, A., 2000. Geoelectromagnetic induction in a heterogeneous sphere: a new three-dimensional forward solver using a conservative staggered-grid finite difference method, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **140**, 636–650.
545. Kuvshinov, A.V., Avdeev, D.B., Pankratov, O.V., Golyshev, S.A. & Olsen, N., 2002. Modelling electromagnetic fields in a 3D spherical earth using fast integral equation approach. In: *3D Electromagnetics*, ed. M.S. Zhdanov & P.E. Wannamaker, chap.3. pp.43–54, Elsevier, Holland.
546. Hamano, Y., 2002. A new time-domain approach for the electromagnetic induction problem in a three-dimensional heterogeneous earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **150**, 753–769.
547. Yoshimura, R. and Oshiman, N., 2002. Edge-based finite elements approach to the simulation of geoelectromagnetic induction in a 3-D sphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **29**, Art. No. 1039.
548. Everett, M.E., Constable, S. and Constable, C.G., 2003. Effects of near-surface conductance on global satellite induction responses. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **153**, 277–286.
549. Kuvshinov, A., Utada, H., Avdeev, D. and Koyama, T., 2005. 3-D modelling and analysis of Dst-C-responses in the North Pacific Ocean region, revisited. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **160**, 505–526.
550. Plotkin V. V., 2005. Lateral conductivity variations as inferred from global MVS and MTS data by correlation of field components. *Russian geology and geophysics*, **46**, 557–566.
551. Avdeev, D. B., 2005. Three-dimensional electromagnetic modelling and inversion from theory to application. *Surv. Geoph.*, **26**, 767–799.
552. Kelbert, A., Egbert, G.D., Schultz, A., 2008. Non-linear conjugate gradient inversion for global EM induction: resolution studies. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **173**, 365–381.
553. Roussos, E., Mueller, J., Simon, S., et al., 2008, Plasma and fields in the wake of Rhea: 3-D hybrid simulation and comparison with Cassini data. *Ann. Geophys.*, **26**, 619–637.
554. Kuvshinov, A.V., 2008. 3-D Global Induction in the Oceans and Solid Earth: Recent Progress in Modeling Magnetic and Electric Fields from Sources of Magnetospheric, Ionospheric and Oceanic Origin. *Surv. Geoph.*, **29**, 139–186.
555. Zhou, B., Greenhalgh, M., Greenhalgh, S.A., 2009. 2.5-D/3-D resistivity modelling in anisotropic media using Gaussian quadrature grids. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **176**, 63–80.
556. Shimizu, H., Koyama, T., Baba, K., Utada, H., 2009. Three-dimensional geomagnetic response functions for global and semi-global scale induction problems. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **178**, 123–144.
557. Weiss, C.J., 2010. Triangulated finite difference methods for global-scale electromagnetic induction simulations of whole mantle electrical heterogeneity. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **11**, Art. Q11010.
- Martinec, Z., 1999. Spectral, initial value approach for viscoelastic relaxation of a spherical earth with a three-dimensional viscosity – I. Theory. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **137**, 469–488.

558. Hanyk, L., Yuen, D.A. and Matyska, C., 1998. Initial-value approach for viscoelastic responses of the Earth's mantle. In: *Dynamics of the Ice Age Earth: A Modern Perspective*, ed. P. Wu, *GeoResearch Forum*, **3-4**, Trans Tech Publications, pp. 135–154.
559. Hanyk, L., 1999. Viscoelastic response of the Earth: Initial-value approach. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague.
560. Tromp, J. and Mitrovica, J. X., 2000. Surface loading of a viscoelastic planet – III. Aspherical models. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **140**, 425–441.
561. Hanyk, L., Yuen, D.A. and Matyska, C., 2002. Determination of viscoelastic spectra by matrix eigenvalue analysis. In: *Ice Sheets, Sea Level and the Dynamic Earth*, eds. J.X. Mitrovica & B.L.A. Vermeersen, AGU Geodynamic Series **29**, 257–273.
562. Kaufmann, G. and Wu, P., 2002. Glacial isostatic adjustment on a 3-D laterally heterogeneous Earth: examples from Fennoscandia and the Barents Sea. In: *Ice Sheets, Sea Level and the Dynamic Earth*, eds. J.X. Mitrovica & B.L.A. Vermeersen, AGU Geodynamic Series **29**, 293–309.
563. Wolf, D., 2002. Gravitational viscoelastodynamics. *Acta Geod. Geoph. Hung.*, **37**, 29–60.
564. Čadek, O. and Fleitout, L., 2003. Effect of lateral viscosity variations in the top 300 km on the geoid and dynamic topography. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **152**, 566–580.
565. Zhong, S., Paulson, A. and Wahr, J., 2003. Three-dimensional finite-element modelling of Earth's viscoelastic deformation: effects of lateral variations in lithospheric thickness. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 679–695.
566. Hagedoorn, J., 2005. Glaziale Isostasie und rezente Meeresspiegeländerung. Scientific Technical Report STR05/13, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 202.
567. Spada, G., Antonioli, A., Cianetti, S., Giunchi, C., 2006. Glacial isostatic adjustment and relative sea-level changes: the role of lithospheric and upper mantle heterogeneities in a 3-D spherical Earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **165**, 692–702.
568. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
- Martinec, Z. and Wolf, D., 1999. Gravitational viscoelastic relaxation of eccentrically nested spheres, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **138**, 45–66.
 - 569. Hanyk, L., 1999. Viscoelastic response of the Earth: Initial-value approach. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague.
 - 570. Abolghasem, A. M., 2000. Numerical modeling of post-seismic displacement fields. Ph.D. thesis, Geodetic Department of Stuttgart University, Stuttgart.
 - 571. Wu, P., 2002. Mode coupling in a viscoelastic self-gravitating spherical earth induced by axisymmetric loads and lateral viscosity variations. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **202**, 49–60.
 - Průša, L. and Martinec, Z., 1999. Spherical harmonic analysis of geomagnetic variations observatory data up to degree 4 in the range of periods from 5 to 40 days. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **115**, 229–245.
 - 572. Plotkin, V.V., Belinskaya, A.Y., Gavrysh, P.A., 2007. Upper mantle lateral inhomogeneity estimated using global MVS. *Russian geology and Geophysics*, **48**, 616–625.
 - Vaníček, P., Huang, J., Novák, P., Pagiatakis, S., Véronneau, M., Martinec, Z. and Featherstone, W. E., 1999. Determination of the boundary values for the Stokes-Helmert problem. *J. Geod.*, **73**, 180–192.
 - 573. Wong, J. Ch., 2000. On Picard criterion and the well-posed nature of harmonic downward continuation. Ms. thesis, Dept. of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada.
 - 574. Nahavandchi, H. and Sjöberg, L.E., 2001. Two different views of topographical and downward-continuation corrections in the Stokes-Helmert approach to geoid computation. *J. Geod.*, **74**, 816–822.
 - 575. Smith, D.A., 2002. Computing components of the gravity field induced by distant topographic masses and condensed masses over the entire Earth using the 1-D FFT approach. *J. Geod.*, **76**, 150–168.

576. Heck, B., 2003. On Helmert's methods of condensation. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 155–170.
577. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
578. Čunderlík, R., 2004. The boundary element method applied to the Neumann geodetic boundary value problem. Ph.D. thesis, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Theoretical Geodesy, Bratislava, pp. 92.
579. Heck, B., 2005. Comments and reply regarding Heck (2003) "On Helmert's methods of condensation" - Reply. *J. Geod.*, **78**, 458–461.
580. Heck, B., Wild, F., 2005. Topographic-isostatic reductions in satellite gravity gradiometry based on a generalized condensation model. *Window on the Future of Geodesy*, Int.Assoc.Geod.Symp., **128**, 294–299.
581. Vajda, P., Panisova, J., 2007. Geophysical indirect effect on interpretation of gravity data in Slovakia. *Geologica Carpathica*, **58**, 97–102.
582. Makhloof, A.A., Ilk, K.H., 2008. Far-zone effects for different topographic-compensation models based on a spherical harmonic expansion of the topography. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 613–635.
583. Hirt, C., ; Claessens, S.J., 2011. Ellipsoidal area mean gravity anomalies - precise computation of gravity anomaly reference fields for remove-compute-restore geoid determination. *Studia. Geophys. Geod.*, **55**, 589–607.
- Martinec, Z., 2000. Spectral–finite element approach to three-dimensional viscoelastic relaxation in a spherical earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **142**, 117–141.
584. Shaw, S.K., Perkins, B.N., Lim, Y.C., Liu, Y., Nusrat, A., Schnell, F.J., Parkos, C.A., Luscin-skas, F.W., 2001. Reduced expression,of junctional adhesion molecule and platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (CD31) at human vascular endothelial junctions by cytokines tumor necrosis factor-alpha plus interferon-gamma does not reduce leukocyte transmigration under flow. *Amer. J. Pathology*, **159**, 2281–2291.
585. Wolf, D. and Li, G., 2002. Compressible viscoelastic earth models based on Darwin's law. In: *Ice Sheets, Sea Level and the Dynamic Earth*, eds. J.X. Mitrovica & B.L.A. Vermeersen, AGU Geodynamic Series **29**, 275–292.
586. Kaufmann, G. and Wu, P., 2002. Glacial isostatic adjustment on a 3-D laterally heterogeneous Earth: examples from Fennoscandia and the Barents Sea. In: *Ice Sheets, Sea Level and the Dynamic Earth*, eds. J.X. Mitrovica & B.L.A. Vermeersen, AGU Geodynamic Series **29**, 293–309.
587. Wolf, D., 2002. Gravitational viscoelastodynamics. *Acta Geod. Geoph. Hung.*, **37**, 29–60.
588. Wu, P., 2002. Mode coupling in a viscoelastic self-gravitating spherical earth induced by axisymmetric loads and lateral viscosity variations. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **202**, 49–60.
589. Peltier, W.R., 2002. Global glacial isostatic adjustment: paleogeodetic and space-geodetic tests of the ICE-4G (VM2) model. *J. Quaternary Sci.*, **17**, 491–510.
590. Zhong, S., Paulson, A. and Wahr, J., 2003. Three-dimensional finite-element modelling of Earth's viscoelastic deformation: effects of lateral variations in lithospheric thickness. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 679–695.
591. Wolf, D., Klemann, V., Wünsch, J. and Zhang, F.P., 2004. A reanalysis and reinterpretation of geodetic and geological evidence of glacial-isostatic adjustment in the Churchill region, Hudson Bay. Scientific Technical Report STR04/11, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 49.
592. Wu, P., 2004. Using commercial finite element packages for study of earth deformations, sea levels and the state of stress. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **158**, 401–408.
593. Pergler, T., 2004. Postseismic relaxation of the Earth's models with the Maxwell rheology. Ms. thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague.
594. Latychev, K., Mitrovica, J.X., Tromp, J., Tamisiea, M.E., Komatitsch, D. and Christara, Ch.C., 2005. Glacial isostatic adjustment on 3-D Earth models: a finite-volume formulation. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **161**, 421–444.

595. Wu, P., 2005. Effects of lateral variations in lithospheric thickness and mantle viscosity on glacially induced surface motion in Laurentia. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **235**, 549–563.
596. Kendall, R.A., Mitrovica, J.X. and Milne, G.A., 2005. On post-glacial sea level – II. Numerical formulation and comparative results on spherically symmetric models. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **161**, 679–706.
597. Klemann V. and Wolf D., 2005. The eustatic reduction of shoreline diagrams: implications for the inference of relaxation-rate spectra and the viscosity stratification below Fennoscandia. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **162**, 249–256.
598. Tobie G., Mocquet A. and Sotin C., 2005. Tidal dissipation within large icy satellites: Applications to Europa and Titan. *Icarus*, **177**, 534–549.
599. Hagedoorn, J., 2005. Glaziale Isostasie und rezente Meeresspiegeländerung. Scientific Technical Report STR05/13, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 202.
600. Bailey, R.C., 2006. Large time step numerical modelling of the flow of Maxwell materials. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **164**, 460–466.
601. Whitehouse, P., Latychev, K., Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X., Kendall, R., 2006. Impact of 3-D Earth structure on Fennoscandian glacial isostatic adjustment: Implications for space-geodetic estimates of present-day crustal deformations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L13502, doi:10.1029/2006GL026568.
602. Wolf, D., Klemann, V., Wunsch, J., Zhang, F.P., 2006. A reanalysis and reinterpretation of geodetic and geological evidence of glacial-isostatic adjustment in the Churchill region, Hudson Bay. *Surv. Geophys.*, **27**, 19–61.
603. Wang, H., Wu, P. 2006. Effects of lateral variations in lithospheric thickness and mantle viscosity on glacially induced surface motion on a spherical, self-gravitating Maxwell Earth. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **244**, 576–589.
604. Wang, H., Wu, P. 2006. Role of background viscosity in the investigation of postglacial rebound induced crustal motion in a laterally heterogeneous mantle. *J. Geodyn.*, **42**, 85–94.
605. Kendall, R.A., Latychev, K., Mitrovica, J.X., et al., 2006. Decontaminating tide gauge records for the influence of glacial isostatic adjustment: The potential impact of 3-D Earth structure. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, Article No: L24318.
606. Bueler, E., Lingle, C.S., Brown, J., 2007. Fast computation of a viscoelastic deformable Earth model for ice-sheet simulations. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **46**, 97–105.
607. Tobie, G., Čadek, O., Sotin, C., 2008. Solid tidal friction above a liquid water reservoir as the origin of the south pole hotspot on Enceladus. *Icarus*, **196**, 642–652.
608. Bangtsson, E., Lund, B., 2008. A comparison between two solution techniques to solve the equations of glacially induced deformation of an elastic Earth. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng.*, **75**, 479–502.
609. Wang, H.S., Wu, P., van der Wal, W., 2008. Using postglacial sea level, crustal velocities and gravity-rate-of-change to constrain the influence of thermal effects on mantle lateral heterogeneities. *J. Geodyn.*, **46**, 104–117.
610. Ivins, E.R., Wolf, D., 2008. Glacial isostatic adjustment: New developments from advanced observing systems and modeling. *J. Geodyn.*, **46**, 69–77.
611. Jacoby, W.R., Hartmann, O., Wallner, H., Smilde, P.L., Burger, S., Sjöberg, L.E., Erlingsson, S., Wolf, D., Klemann, V., Sasgen, I., 2009. Temporal gravity variations near shrinking Vatnajökull Ice Cap, Iceland. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **166**, 1283–1302.
612. Tanaka, Y., Klemann, V., Okuno, J., 2009. Application of a numerical inverse Laplace integration method to surface loading on a viscoelastic compressible earth model. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **166**, 1199–1216.
613. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
614. Church, J.A., Woodworth, P.L., Aarup, T., Wilson, W.S., (eds.), 2010. *Understanding Sea-level Rise and Variability*, Wiley-Blackwell. J.Wiley & Sons, Oxford, UK.

615. Gomez, N., Mitrovica, J.X., Tamisiea, M.E., Clark, P.U., 2010. A new projection of sea level change in response to collapse of marine sectors of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **180**, 623–634.
616. Poutanen, M., Ivins, E.R., 2010. Upper mantle dynamics and quaternary climate in cratonic areas (DynaQlim)-Understanding the glacial isostatic adjustment. *J. Geodyn.*, **50**, 2–7.
617. Hill, E.M., Davis, J.L., Tamisiea, M.E., Lidberg, M., 2010. Combination of geodetic observations and models for glacial isostatic adjustment fields in Fennoscandia. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, Art. B07403.
618. Khan, S.A., Liu, L., Wahr, J., Howat, I., Joughin, I., van Dam, T., Fleming, K., 2010. GPS measurements of crustal uplift near Jakobshavn Isbrae due to glacial ice mass loss. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, Art. B09405.
619. Timmen, L., 2010. Absolute and Relative Gravimetry. *Sciences of Geodesy-I: Advances and Future Directions*, 1–48.
620. Poutanen, M. + 17 co-authors, 2010. DynaQlim - upper mantle dynamics and quaternary climate in cratonic areas. In: *New Frontiers in Integrated Solid Earth Sciences*, Book Series: International Year of Planet Earth, 349–372.
621. Běhounková, M., Tobie, G., Choblet, G., Čadek, O., 2010. Coupling mantle convection and tidal dissipation: Applications to Enceladus and Earth-like planets. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, Art. E09011.
622. Mitrovica, J.X., Wahr, J., 2011. Ice age Earth rotation. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary sciences*, **39**, 577–616.
623. Tamisiea, M.E., Mitrovica, J.X., 2011. The moving boundaries of sea level change understanding the origins of geographic variability. *Oceanography*, **24**, 24–39.
624. Steffen, H., Wu, P., 2011. Glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia - A review of data and modeling. *J. Geodyn.*, **52**, 169–204.
625. Mitrovica, J.X., Gomez, N., Morrow, E., Hay, C., Latychev, K., Tamisiea, M.E., 2011. On the robustness of predictions of sea level fingerprints. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **187**, 729–742.
- Ardestani, V. E. and Martinec, Z., 2000. Geoid determination through ellipsoidal Stokes boundary-value problem. *Studia Geoph. et Geod.*, **44**, 353–363.
 - 626. Yurkina, M.I. and Pick, M., 2002. To Pizzetti's theory of the level ellipsoid. *Studia geoph. et geod.*, **46**, 435–454.
 - 627. Sadovskii, A.L., Jeffress, G., Song, H.Z., Warner, N., 2009. Some mathematical problems of the geoid determination in the coastal areas of the Gulf of Mexico. *Proc. 15th Amer. Conf. Appl. Math.*, 177–182.
 - Martinec, Z., Thoma, M. and Wolf, D., 2001. Material versus local incompressibility and its influence on glacial-isostatic adjustment. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **144**, 136–156.
 - 628. Klemann, V., 2003. Ebene kompressible viskoelastische Erdmodelle: Anwendung auf glazial-isostatische Deformationen der Lithospäre. Scientific Technical Report STR03/11, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 150.
 - 629. Tanaka, Y., Klemann, V., Okuno, J., 2009. Application of a numerical inverse Laplace integration method to surface loading on a viscoelastic compressible earth model. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **166**, 1199–1216.
 - 630. Cambiotti, G., Barletta, V.R., Bordoni, A., Sabadini, R., 2009. A comparative analysis of the solutions for a Maxwell Earth: the role of the advection and buoyancy force. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **176**, 995–1006.
 - 631. Cambiotti, G., Sabadini, R., 2010. The compressional and compositional stratifications in Maxwell earth models: the gravitational overturning and the long-period tangential flux. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **180**, 475–500.
 - Martinec, Z., Čadek, O. and Fleitout, L., 2001. Can the 1D viscosity profiles inferred from postglacial rebound data be affected by lateral viscosity variations in the tectosphere? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28**, 4403–4406.

632. Kaufmann, G. and Wu, P., 2002. Glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia with a three-dimensional viscosity structure as an inverse problem. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **197**, 1–10.
633. Labrosse, S., 2002. Hotspots, mantle plumes and core heat loss. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **199**, 147–156.
634. Zhong, S., Paulson, A. and Wahr, J., 2003. Three-dimensional finite-element modelling of Earth's viscoelastic deformation: effects of lateral variations in lithospheric thickness. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 679–695.
635. Klemann, V., 2003. Ebene kompressible viskoelastische Erdmodelle: Anwendung auf glazial-isostatische Deformationen der Lithospäre. Scientific Technical Report STR03/11, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 150.
636. Kaufmann, G., Wu, P. and Ivins, E.R., 2005. Lateral viscosity variations beneath Antarctica and their implications on regional rebound motions and seismotectonics. *J. Geodyn.*, **39**, 165–181.
637. Paulson, A., Zhong, S. and Wahr, J., 2005. Modelling post-glacial rebound with lateral viscosity variations. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **163**, 357–371.
638. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
639. Baranov, A.A., Bobrov, A.M., 2011. The structure of 2D mantle convection and stress fields: Effects of viscosity distribution. *Izvestia - Phys. Solid Earth*, **47**, 575–585.
- Vaníček, P., Novák, P. and Martinec, Z., 2001. Geoid, topography, and the Bouguer plate or shell. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 210–215.
640. Heck, B., 2003. On Helmert's methods of condensation. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 155–170.
641. Hackney, R.I. and Featherstone, W.E., 2003. Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the 'gravity anomaly'. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **154**, 35–43.
642. Safari, A., Ardalan, A.A., Grafarend, E.W., 2005. A new ellipsoidal gravimetric, satellite altimetry and astronomic boundary value problem, a case study: The geoid of Iran. *J. Geodyn.*, **39**, 545–568.
643. Heck, B., Seitz, K., 2007. A comparison of the tesseroid, prism and point-mass approaches for mass reductions in gravity field modelling. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 121–136.
644. Tong, L.T., Guo, T.R., 2007. Gravity terrain effect of the seafloor topography in Taiwan. *Terrestrial Atmosph. Oceanic Sci.*, **18**, 699–713.
645. Vajda, P., Ellmann, A., Meurers, B., et al., 2008. Gravity disturbances in regions of negative heights: A reference quasi-ellipsoid approach. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **52**, 35–52.
646. Makhloof, A.A., Ilk, K.H., 2008. Far-zone effects for different topographic-compensation models based on a spherical harmonic expansion of the topography. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 613–635.
647. Wild-Pfeiffer, F., 2008. A comparison of different mass elements for use in gravity gradiometry. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 637–653.
648. Kuhn, M., Featherstone, W.E., Kirby, J.F., 2009. Complete spherical Bouguer gravity anomalies over Australia. *Australian J Earth Sci.*, **56**, 213–223.
649. Barthelmes, F., 2009. Definition of functionals of the geopotential and their calculation from spherical harmonic models. Scientific Technical Report STR09/02, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 32.
650. Wziontek, H., Wilmes, H., Wolf, P., Werth, S., Guntner, A., 2009. Time series of superconducting gravimeters and water storage variations from the global hydrology model WGHM. *J. Geodyn.*, **48**, 166–171.
- Novák, P., Vaníček, P., Martinec, Z. and Véronneau, M., 2001. Effects of the spherical terrain on gravity and the geoid. *J. Geod.*, **75**, 491–504.
651. Heck, B., 2002. On the use and abuse of Helmert's second method of condensation. *Vistas for geodesy in the new millennium*, **125**, 144–149.
652. Jekeli, C. and Serpas, J.G., 2003. Review and numerical assessment of the direct topographical reduction in geoid determination. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 226–239.

653. Heck, B., 2003. On Helmert's methods of condensation. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 155–170.
654. Sjöberg, L.E., 2003. A computational scheme to model the geoid by the modified Stokes formula without gravity reductions. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 423–432.
655. Tenzer, R., 2004. Discussion of mean gravity along the plumbline. *Studia Geophys. et Geod.*, **48**, 309–330.
656. Safari, A., Ardalan, A.A., Grafarend, E.W., 2005. A new ellipsoidal gravimetric, satellite altimetry and astronomic boundary value problem, a case study: The geoid of Iran. *J. Geodyn.*, **39**, 545–568.
657. Mikuska, J., Pasteka R., Marusiak, I., 2006. Estimation of distant relief effect in gravimetry. *Geophysics*, **71**, J59–J69.
658. Heck, B., Seitz, K., 2007. A comparison of the tesseroid, prism and point-mass approaches for mass reductions in gravity field modelling. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 121–136.
659. Vajda, P., Ellmann, A., Meurers, B., et al., 2008. Gravity disturbances in regions of negative heights: A reference quasi-ellipsoid approach. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **52**, 35–52.
660. Makhloof, A.A., Ilk, K.H., 2008. Effects of topographic-isostatic masses on gravitational functionals at the Earth's surface and at airborne and satellite altitudes. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 93–111.
661. Makhloof, A.A., Ilk, K.H., 2008. Far-zone effects for different topographic-compensation models based on a spherical harmonic expansion of the topography. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 613–635.
662. Kloch, G., Krynski, J., 2010. On the determination of the terrain correction using the spherical approach. *Gravity, Geoid and Earth Observations*, **135**, 389–395.
663. Tziavos, I. N., Vergos, G. S., Grigoriadis, V. N., 2010. Investigation of topographic reductions and aliasing effects on gravity and the geoid over Greece based on various digital terrain models. *Surv. Geophys.*, **31**, 23–67.
664. Guo, D.M., Xu, H.Z., 2011. Research on the singular integral of local terrain correction computation. *Chinese J. Geophys.*, **54**, 977–983.
- Martinec, Z., 2003. Green's function solution to spherical gradiometric boundary-value problems. *J. Geod.*, **77**, 41–49.
665. Novák, P., 2007. Integral inversion of SST data of type GRACE. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **51**, 351–367.
666. Eshagh, M., 2009. On satellite gravity gradiometry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Geodesy, Stockholm, pp. 221.
667. Eshagh, M., 2009. Impact of vectorization on global synthesis and analysis in gradiometry. *Acta Geod. Geoph. Hungarica*, **44**, 323–342.
668. Austen, G., 2009. On the treatment of the geodetic boundary value problem by means of regular gravity space formulations. Ph.D. thesis, Geodetic Department of Stuttgart University, Stuttgart.
669. Janak J., Fukuda Y., Xu P.L., 2009. Application of GOCE data for regional gravity field modeling. *Earth Planet Space*, **61**, 835–843.
670. Eshagh, M., 2009. Impact of vectorization on global synthesis and analysis in gradiometry. *Acta Geod. Geoph. Hungarica*, **44**, 323–342.
671. Eshagh, M., 2010. Alternative expressions for gravity gradients in local north-oriented frame and tensor spherical harmonics. *Acta Geoph.*, **58**, 215–243.
672. Yu J.H., Zhao D.M., 2010. The gravitational gradient tensor's invariants and the related boundary conditions. *Science China-Earth Sciences*, **53**, 781–790.
673. Eshagh, M., 2010. Optimal combination of integral solutions of gradiometric boundary value problem using variance component estimation in Earth gravitational modelling. *Earth Planet Space*, **62**, 437–448.
674. Eshagh, M., Abdollahzadeh, M., 2010. Semi-vectorization: an efficient technique for synthesis and analysis of gravity gradiometry data. *Earth Science Informatics*, **3**, 149–158.
675. Eshagh, M., 2011. On integral approach to regional gravity field modelling from satellite gradiometric data. *Acta Geophys.*, **59**, 29–54.
676. Eshagh, M., 2011. The effect of spatial truncation error on integral inversion of satellite gravity gradiometry data. *Advances Space Res.*, **47**, 1238–1247.

677. Eshagh, M., Sjöberg, L.E., 2011. Determination of gravity anomaly at sea level from inversion of satellite gravity gradiometric data. *J. Geodyn.*, **51**, 366–377.
678. Grafarend, E.W., 2011. Space gradiometry: tensor-valued ellipsoidal harmonics, the datum problem and application of the Lusternik-Schirelmann category to construct a minimum atlas. *Int. J. Geomath.*, **1**, 145–166.
679. Rummel, R., Yi, W.Y., Stummer, C., 2011. GOCE gravitational gradiometry *J. Geod.*, **85**, 777–790.
- Martinec, Z., 2003. Stokes’s two-boundary-value problem. In: *Geodesy, the Challenge of the 3rd Millennium*, eds. E. Grafarend, F. Krumm, V. Schwarze, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 447–453.
680. Ågren, J., 2004. Regional geoid determination methods for the era of satellite gravimetry. Ph.D. dissertation, Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Infrastructure, Stockholm, pp. 246.
- Martinec, Z., Everett, M.E. and Velínský, J., 2003. Time-domain, spectral finite-element approach to transient 2-D geomagnetic induction in a spherical heterogeneous Earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **155**, 33–43.
681. Kuvshinov, A., Sabaka, T., Olsen, N., 2006. 3-D electromagnetic induction studies using the Swarm constellation: Mapping conductivity anomalies in the Earth’s mantle. *Earth Planet. Space*, **58**, 417–427.
682. Borner, R.U., 2010. Numerical modelling in geo-electromagnetics: Advances and challenges. *Surv. Geophys.*, **31**, 225–245.
- Martinec, Z. and Everett, M.E., 2003. Two-dimensional spatiotemporal modelling of satellite electromagnetic induction signals. In: *First CHAMP Mission Results for Gravity, Magnetic and Atmosphere Studies*, eds. C. Reigber, H. Lühr and P. Schwintzer, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 321–327.
683. Constable S.C. & C.G. Constable, 2004. Observing geomagnetic induction in magnetic satellite measurements and associated implications for mantle conductivity. *G³: Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst.*, **5**, 1–16.
- Everett, M.E. and Martinec, Z., 2003. Spatiotemporal response of a conducting sphere under simulated geomagnetic storm conditions. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **138**, 163–181.
684. Kuvshinov, A., Sabaka, T., Olsen, N., 2006. 3-D electromagnetic induction studies using the Swarm constellation: Mapping conductivity anomalies in the Earth’s mantle. *Earth Planet. Space*, **58**, 417–427.
685. Shimizu, H., Koyama, T., Baba, K., Utada, H., 2009. Three-dimensional geomagnetic response functions for global and semi-global scale induction problems. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **178**, 123–144.
686. Velínský, J., Finlay, C.C., 2011. Effect of a metallic core on transient geomagnetic induction. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, **12**, No. Q05011.
- Fleming, K., Martinec, Z. and Wolf, D., 2003. A reinterpretation of the Fennoscandian relaxation-time spectrum for a viscoelastic lithosphere. In: *3rd Meeting of the International Gravity and Geoid Commission, Gravity and Geoid 2002 - GG2002, 2003*, ed. I.N. Tziavos, Ziti Publishing, Thessaloniki, 432–438.
687. Steffen, H. and Kaufmann, G., 2005. Glacial isostatic adjustment of Scandinavia and northwestern Europe and radial viscosity structure of the Earth’s mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **163**, 801–812.
688. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
- Velínský, J., Everett, M.E. and Martinec, Z., 2003. The transient Dst electromagnetic induction signal at satellite altitudes for a realistic 3-D electrical conductivity in the crust and mantle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **30**, Art No. 1355, doi:10.1029/2002GL016671, 7-1–7-4.
689. Constable S.C. & C.G. Constable, 2004. Observing geomagnetic induction in magnetic satellite measurements and associated implications for mantle conductivity. *G³: Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst.*, **5**, 1–16.

690. Maus, S. and Lühr, H., 2005. Signature of the quiet-time magnetospheric magnetic field and its electromagnetic induction in the rotating Earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **162**, 755–763.
691. Avdeev, D. B., 2005. Three-dimensional electromagnetic modelling and inversion from theory to application. *Surv. Geoph.*, **26**, 767–799.
692. Kelbert, A., Egbert, G.D., Schultz, A., 2008. Non-linear conjugate gradient inversion for global EM induction: resolution studies.
693. Shimizu, H., Koyama, T., Baba, K., Utada, H., 2009. Three-dimensional geomagnetic response functions for global and semi-global scale induction problems. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **178**, 123–144.
- Martinec, Z. and McCreadie, H., 2004. Electromagnetic induction modelling based on satellite magnetic vector data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **157**, 1045–1060.
694. Balasis, G., Egbert, G.D., 2006. Empirical orthogonal function analysis of magnetic observatory data: Further evidence for non-axisymmetric magnetospheric sources for satellite induction studies. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L11311, doi:10.1029/2006GL025721.
695. Velínský, J., Finlay, C.C., 2011. Effect of a metallic core on transient geomagnetic induction. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, **12**, No. Q05011.
696. Kuvshinov, A., 2012. Deep electromagnetic studies from land, sea, and space: Progress status in the past 10 years. *Surv. Geophys.*, **33**, 169–209.
- Fleming, K., Martinec, Z. and Hagedoorn, J., 2004. Geoid displacement about Greenland resulting from past and present-day mass changes in the Greenland ice sheet. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L06617, doi:10.1029/2004GL019469.
697. Dietrich, R., Rülke, A., Scheinert, M., 2005. Present-day vertical crustal deformations in West Greenland from repeated GPS observations. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **163**, 865–874.
698. Schachtschneider, R., Holschneider, M., Manda, M., 2010. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **181**, 1428–1440.
- Vaníček, P., Tenzer, R., Sjöberg, L.E., Martinec, Z. and Featherstone, W.E., 2004. New views of the spherical Bouguer gravity anomaly. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **159**, 460–472.
699. Huang, J. and Véronneau, M., 2005. Applications of downward-continuation in gravimetric geoid modelling: case studies in Western Canada. *J. Geod.*, **79**, 135–145.
700. Heck, B., Seitz, K., 2007. A comparison of the tesseroid, prism and point-mass approaches for mass reductions in gravity field modelling. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 121–136.
701. Vajda, P., Panisova, J., 2007. Geophysical indirect effect on interpretation of gravity data in Slovakia. *Geologica Carpathica*, **58**, 97–102.
702. Wild-Pfeiffer, F., 2008. A comparison of different mass elements for use in gravity gradiometry. *J. Geod.*, **82**, 637–653.
703. Barthelmes, F., 2009. Definition of functionals of the geopotential and their calculation from spherical harmonic models. Scientific Technical Report STR09/02, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 32.
- Martinec, Z. and Hagedoorn, J., 2005. Time-domain approach to linearized rotational response of a three-dimensional viscoelastic earth model induced by glacial isostatic adjustment: I. Inertia-tensor perturbations. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **163**, 443–462.
704. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
705. Church, J.A., Woodworth, P.L., Aarup, T., Wilson, W.S., (eds.), 2010. *Understanding Sea-level Rise and Variability*, Wiley-Blackwell. J.Wiley & Sons, Oxford, UK.
- Martinec, Z. and Wolf, D., 2005. Inverting the Fennoscandian relaxation-time spectrum in terms of an axisymmetric viscosity distribution with a lithospheric root. *J. Geodyn.*, **39**, 143–163.
706. Steffen, H. and Kaufmann, G., 2005. Glacial isostatic adjustment of Scandinavia and northwestern Europe and radial viscosity structure of the Earth’s mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **163**, 801–812.

707. Hagedoorn, J., 2005. Glaziale Isostasie und rezente Meeresspiegeländerung. Scientific Technical Report STR05/13, GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Potsdam, pp. 202.
708. Whitehouse, P., Latychev, K., Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X., Kendall, R., 2006. Impact of 3-D Earth structure on Fennoscandian glacial isostatic adjustment: Implications for space-geodetic estimates of present-day crustal deformations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L13502, doi:10.1029/2006GL026568.
709. Steffen, H., Kaufmann, G., Wu, P., 2006. Three-dimensional finite-element modeling of the glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **250**, 358-375.
710. Olsson, S., Roberts, R.G., Boovarsson, R., 2007. Analysis of waves converted from S to P in the upper mantle beneath the Baltic Shield. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **257**, 37-46.
711. Korja, T., 2007. How is the european lithosphere imaged by magnetotellurics? *Surv. Geophys.*, **28**, 239-272.
712. Biessy, G., Dauteuil, O., Van Vliet-Lanoe, B., et al. 2008. Fast and partitioned postglacial rebound of southwestern Iceland. *Tectonics*, **27**, Article No: TC3002.
713. Turpeinen, H., Hampel, A., Karow, T., et al., 2008. Effect of ice sheet growth and melting on the slip evolution of thrust faults. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **269**, 230-241.
714. Plomerová, J., Babuška, V., Kozovskaya, E., et al., 2008. Seismic anisotropy - A key to resolve fabrics of mantle lithosphere of Fennoscandia. *Tectonophysics*, **462**, 125-136.
715. Swieczak, M., Kozlovskaya E., Majdanski, M., et al., 2009. Interpretation of geoid anomalies in the contact zone between the East European Craton and the Palaeozoic Platform-II: Modelling of density in the lithospheric mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **177**, 334-346.
716. Schotman, H.H.A., Vermeersen, L.L.A., Wu, P., Drury, M.R., de Bresser, J.H.P., 2009. Constraints on shallow low-viscosity zones in Northern Europe from future GOCE gravity data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **178**, 65-84 .
717. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
718. Steffen, H., Wu, P., Wang, H.S., 2010. Determination of the Earth's structure in Fennoscandia from GRACE and implications for the optimal post-processing of GRACE data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **182**, 1295-1310, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04718.x
719. Plomerová, J., Babuška, V., 2010. Long memory of mantle lithosphere fabric - European LAB constrained from seismic anisotropy. *Lithos*, **120**, 131-143.
720. Church, J.A., Woodworth, P.L., Aarup, T., Wilson, W.S., (eds.), 2010. *Understanding Sea-level Rise and Variability*, Wiley-Blackwell. J.Wiley & Sons, Oxford, UK.
721. Barnhoorn, A., van der Wal, W., Vermeersen, B.L.A., Drury, M.R., 2011 Lateral, radial, and temporal variations in upper mantle viscosity and rheology under Scandinavia. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **12**, Art. Q01007.
722. Steffen, H., Wu, P., 2011. Glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia - A review of data and modeling. *J. Geodyn.*, **52**, 169-204.
- Velímský, J. and Martinec, Z., 2005. Time-domain, spherical harmonic-finite element approach to transient three-dimensional geomagnetic induction in a spherical heterogeneous Earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **161**, 81-101.
723. Everett. M.E., 2006. Local time stacking of geomagnetic solar daily variations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, B03103, doi:10.1029/2005JB003831.
724. Kelbert, A., Egbert, G.D., Schultz, A., 2008. Non-linear conjugate gradient inversion for global EM induction: resolution studies. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **173**, 365-381.
725. Kuvshinov, A.V., 2008. 3-D Global Induction in the Oceans and Solid Earth: Recent Progress in Modeling Magnetic and Electric Fields from Sources of Magnetospheric, Ionospheric and Oceanic Origin. *Surv. Geoph.*, **29**, 139-186.
726. Shimizu, H., Koyama, T., Baba, K., Utada, H., 2009. Three-dimensional geomagnetic response functions for global and semi-global scale induction problems. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **178**, 123-144.

727. Borner, R.U., 2010. Numerical modelling in geo-electromagnetics: advances and challenges. *Surv. Geophys.*, **31**, 225–245.
728. Semenov, V.Y., Shuman, V.N., 2010. Impedances for induction soundings of the Earth's mantle. *Acta Geophys.*, **58**, 527–542.
729. Weiss, C.J., 2010. Triangulated finite difference methods for global-scale electromagnetic induction simulations of whole mantle electrical heterogeneity. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **11**, Art. Q11010.
730. Everett, M.E., 2010. Spatiotemporal sampling of Sq+-induced geomagnetic responses at LEO satellite altitude for a radially conductive Earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **183**, 1185–1198.
731. Kuvshinov, A., 2012. Deep electromagnetic studies from land, sea, and space: Progress status in the past 10 years. *Surv. Geophys.*, **33**, 169–209.
- Sasgen, I., Martinec, Z. and Fleming, K., 2006. Wiener optimal filtering of GRACE data. *Studia Geophys. Geod.*, **50**, 499–508.
732. Kusche, J., 2007. Approximate decorrelation and non-isotropic smoothing of time-variable GRACE-type gravity fields models. *J. Geod.*, **81**, 733–749.
733. Tregoning, P., Lambek, K., Ramillien, G., 2008. GRACE estimates of sea surface height anomalies in the Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **271**, 241–244.
734. Klees, R., Revtova, E.A., Gunter, B.C., et al., 2008. The design of an optimal filter for monthly GRACE gravity models. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **175**, 417–432.
735. Steffen, H., Denker, H., Muller, J., 2008. Glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia from GRACE data and comparison with geodynamical models. *J. Geodyn.*, **46**, 155–164.
736. Steffen, H., Muller, J., Denker, H., 2009. Analysis of mass variations in northern glacial rebound areas from GRACE data. In: *Observing Our Changing Earth*, IAG Symposia, **133**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 501–509.
737. Steffen, H., Petrovic, S., Müller, J., Schmidt, R., Wunsch J. Barthelmes, F., Kusche, J., 2009. Significance of secular trends of mass variations determined from GRACE solutions. *J. Geodyn.*, **48**, 157–165.
738. Zhang, Z.Z., Chao, B.F., Lu, Y., Hsu, H.-T., 2009. An effective filtering for GRACE time-variable gravity: Fan filter. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L17311, doi:10.1029/2009GL039459
739. Liu, X., Ditmar, P., Siemes, C., Slobbe, D. C., Revtova, E., Klees, R., Riva, R., Zhao, Q., 2010. DEOS Mass Transport model (DMT-1) based on GRACE satellite data: methodology and validation. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **181**, 769–788, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04533.x
740. Steffen, H., Wu, P., Wang, H.S., 2010. Determination of the Earth's structure in Fennoscandia from GRACE and implications for the optimal post-processing of GRACE data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **182**, 1295–1310, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04718.x
741. Frappart, F., Ramillien, G., Maisongrande, P., Bonnet, M.P., 2010. Denoising satellite gravity signals by independent component analysis. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, **7**, 421–425.
742. Longuevergne, L., Scanlon, B.R., Wilson, C.R., 2010. GRACE Hydrological estimates for small basins: Evaluating processing approaches on the High Plains Aquifer, USA. *Water Resources Research*, **46**, W11517, doi:10.1029/2009WR008564
743. Frappart, F., Ramillien, G., Leblanc, M., Tweed, S.O., Bonnet, M.P., Maisongrande, P., 2011. An independent component analysis filtering approach for estimating continental hydrology in the GRACE gravity data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **115**, 187–204.
744. Wang, W.X., Shi, Y.L., Sun, W.K., Zhang, J., 2011. Viscous lithospheric structure beneath Sumatra inferred from post-seismic gravity changes detected by GRACE. *Science China-Earth Sciences*, **54**, 1257–1267.
- Velínský, J., Martinec, Z. and Everett, M.E., 2006. Electrical conductivity in the Earth's mantle inferred from CHAMP satellite measurements — I. Data processing and 1-D inversion. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **166**, 529–542.

745. Kuvshinov, A., Olsen, N., 2006. A global model of mantle conductivity derived from 5 years of CHAMP, Orsted, and SAC-C magnetic data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L18301.
746. Whaler, K.A., 2007. Geomagnetism in the satellite era. *Astronomy & Geophysics*, **48**, 23–29.
747. Kelbert, A., Egbert, G.D., Schultz, A., 2008. Non-linear conjugate gradient inversion for global EM induction: resolution studies. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **173**, 365–381.
748. Hagedoorn, J.M., Greiner-Mai, H., Ballani, L., 2010. Determining the time-variable part of the toroidal geomagnetic field in the core-mantle boundary zone. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, **178**, 56–67.
749. Ballani, L., Hagedoorn, J.M., Wardinski, I., Stromeyer, D., Greiner-Mai, H., 2010. The 1991 geomagnetic jerk as seen at the Earth’s surface and the core-mantle boundary. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **183**, 659–680.
750. Kuvshinov, A., 2012. Deep electromagnetic studies from land, sea, and space: Progress status in the past 10 years. *Surv. Geophys.*, **33**, 169–209.
- Fleming, K., Martinec, Z. and Wolf, D., 2007. Glacial-isostatic adjustment and the viscosity structure underlying Vatnajökull ice cap, Iceland. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **164**, 751–768.
751. Arnadottir, T., Lund, B., Jiang, W., et al., 2009. Glacial rebound and plate spreading: results from the first countrywide GPS observations in Iceland. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **177**, 691–716.
752. Bjarnason, I.T., Schmeling, H., 2009. The lithosphere and asthenosphere of the Iceland hotspot from surface waves. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **178**, 394–418.
753. Dietrich, R., Ivins, E.R., Casassa, G., Lange, H., Wendt, J., Fritsche, M., 2010. Rapid crustal uplift in Patagonia due to enhanced ice loss. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **289**, 22–29.
754. Panet, I., Pollitz, F., Mikhailov, V., Diament, M., Banerjee, P., Grijalva, K., 2010. Upper mantle rheology from GRACE and GPS postseismic deformation after the 2004 Sumatra-Andaman earthquake. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **11**, Art. Q06008.
755. Peslieri, A.H., Woodland, A.B., Bell, D.R., Lazarov, M., 2010. Olivine water contents in the continental lithosphere and the longevity of cratons. *Nature*, **467**, 78-U108.
756. Barnhoorn, A., Van der Wal, W., Drury, M.R., 2011. Upper mantle viscosity and lithospheric thickness under Iceland *J. Geodyn.*, **52**, 260–270.
757. Sato, T., Larsen, C.F., Miura, S., Ohta, Y., Fujimoto, H., Sun, W.K., Motyka, R.J., Freymueller, J.T., 2011. Reevaluation of the viscoelastic and elastic responses to the past and present-day ice changes in Southeast Alaska. *Tectonophysics*, **511**, 79–88.
- Hagedoorn, J.M., Wolf, D. and Martinec, Z., 2007. An estimate of global sea-level rise inferred from tide-gauge measurements using glacial isostatic models consistent with the relative sea-level record. *Pageoph*, **164**, 791–818.
758. Vermeersen, B., Schotman, H., 2009. Constraints on glacial isostatic adjustment from GOCE and sea level data. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **166**, 1261–1281.
759. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
760. Wenzel, M., Schroter, J., 2010. Reconstruction of regional mean sea level anomalies from tide gauges using neural networks. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, Art. C08013.
- Klemann, V., Ivins, E., Martinec, Z. and Wolf, D., 2007. Models of active glacial isostasy roofing warm subduction: Case of the South Patagonian Ice Field. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, B09405, doi:10.1029/2006JB004818, 1–8.
761. Vermeersen, B., Schotman, H., 2009. Constraints on glacial isostatic adjustment from GOCE and sea level data. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **166**, 1261–1281.
762. Pedoja, K., Regard, V., Husson, L., Martinod, J., Guillaume, B., Fucks, E., Iglesias, M., Weill, P., 2011. Uplift of quaternary shorelines in eastern Patagonia: Darwin revisited. *Geomorphology*, **127**, 121–142.

763. Cambiotti, G., Bordoni, A., Sabadini, R., Colli, L., 2011. GRACE gravity data help constraining seismic models of the 2004 Sumatran earthquake. *J. Geophys. Res.-Solid Earth*, **116**, No. B10403.
764. Sato, T., Larsen, C.F., Miura, S., Ohta, Y., Fujimoto, H., Sun, W.K., Motyka, R.J., Freymueller, J.T., 2011. Reevaluation of the viscoelastic and elastic responses to the past and present-day ice changes in Southeast Alaska. *Tectonophysics*, **511**, 79–88.
765. Wang, W.X., Shi, Y.L., Sun, W.K., Zhang, J., 2011. Viscous lithospheric structure beneath Sumatra inferred from post-seismic gravity changes detected by GRACE. *Science China-Earth Sciences*, **54**, 1257–1267.
- Sasgen, I., Martinec, Z. and Fleming, K., 2007. Wiener optimal combination and evaluation of the GRACE gravity fields over Antarctica. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, B04401, doi:10.1029/2006JB00465, 1-9.
766. Schmidt, R., Petrovic, S., Güntner, A., Barthelmes, F., Wunsch, J., Kusche, J., 2008. Periodic components of water storage changes from GRACE and global hydrology models. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, B08419, 1–14.
767. Schmidt, R., Flechtner, F., Meyer, U., Neumayer, K.H., Dahle, C., König, R., Kusche, J., 2008. Hydrological signals observed by the GRACE satellites. *Surv. Geoph.*, **29**, 319–334.
- Sasgen, I., Martinec, Z. and Fleming, K., 2007. Regional ice-mass changes and glacial-isostatic adjustment in Antarctica from GRACE. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **264**, 391–401.
768. Moore, P., King, M.A., 2008. Antarctic ice mass balance estimates from GRACE: Tidal aliasing effects. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, F02005, doi:10.1029/2007JF000871.
769. Horwath, M., Dietrich, R., 2009. Signal and error in mass change inferences from GRACE: the case of Antarctica. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **177**, 849–864.
770. Bentley, M., 2010. The Antarctic palaeo record and its role in improving predictions of future Antarctic Ice Sheet change. *J. Quaternary Sci.*, **25**, 5–18.
771. Schachtschneider, R., Holschneider, M., Manda, M., 2010. Error distribution in regional inversion of potential field data *Geophys. J. Int.*, **181**, 1428–1440.
772. Whitehouse, P., 2009. Glacial isostatic adjustment and sea-level change. State of the art report. Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, pp. 105.
773. King, M., et al, 2010. Improved constraints on models of glacial isostatic adjustment: A review of the contribution of ground-based geodetic observations. *Surv. Geophys.*, **31**, 465–507, doi: 10.1007/s10712-010-9100-4.
774. Simms, A.R., DeWitt, R., Kouremenos, P., Drewry, A.M., 2011. A new approach to reconstructing sea levels in Antarctica using optically stimulated luminescence of cobble surfaces. *Quaternary Geochronology*, **6**, 50–60, doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2010.06.004.
775. Ivins, E.R., Watkins, M.M., Yuan, D.N., Dietrich, R., Casassa, G., Rulke, A., 2011. On-land ice loss and glacial isostatic adjustment at the Drake Passage: 2003-2009. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, Art. B02403.
776. Rignot, E., 2011. Is Antarctica melting? *Wiley Interdiscipl. Rev.-Climate Change*, **2**, 324–331.
777. Le Brocq, A.M., Bentley, M.J., Hubbard, A., Fogwill, C.J., Sugden, D.E., Whitehouse, P.L., 2011. Reconstructing the Last Glacial Maximum ice sheet in the Weddell Sea embayment, Antarctica, using numerical modelling constrained by field evidence. *Quater. Sci. Rev.*, **30**, 2422–2432.
778. Thomas, I.D., King, M.A., Bentley, M.J., Whitehouse, P.L., Penna, N.T., Williams, S.D.P., Riva, R.E.M., Lavalée, D.A., Clarke, P.J., King, E.C., Edward C. Hindmarsh, R.C.A., Koivula, H., 2011. Widespread low rates of Antarctic glacial isostatic adjustment revealed by GPS observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, No. L22302.
- Klemann, V., Martinec, Z. and Ivins, E., 2008. Glacial isostasy and plate motion. *J. Geodyn.*, **46**, 95–103.
779. Vermeersen, B., Schotman, H., 2009. Constraints on glacial isostatic adjustment from GOCE and sea level data. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **166**, 1261-1281.

780. King, M., et al, 2010. Improved constraints on models of glacial isostatic adjustment: A review of the contribution of ground-based geodetic observations. *Surv. Geophys.*, **31**, 465–507, doi: 10.1007/s10712-010-9100-4.
781. Simpson, M.J.R., Wake, L., Milne, G.A., Huybrechts, P., 2011. The influence of decadal-to millennial-scale ice mass changes on present-day vertical land motion in Greenland: Implications for the interpretation of GPS observations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, Art. B02406.
- Souček, O. and Martinec, Z., 2008. Iterative improvement of the shallow-ice approximation. *J. Glaciol.*, **54**, 812–822.
782. Stone, E.J., Lunt, D.J., Rutt, I.C., Hanna, E., 2010. Investigating the sensitivity of numerical model simulations of the modern state of the Greenland ice-sheet and its future response to climate change. *Cryosphere*, **4**, 397–417.
783. Adhikari, S., Marshall, S.J., 2011. Improvements to shear-deformational models of glacier dynamics through a longitudinal stress factor. *J. Glaciol.*, **57**, 1003–1016.
- Tanaka, Y., Klemann, V., Fleming, K. and Martinec, Z., 2009. Spectral finite element approach to postseismic deformation in a viscoelastic self-gravitating spherical earth. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **176**, 715–739.
784. Fu, G.Y., Sun, W.K., Fukuda, Y.C., Gao, S.H., 2010. Coseismic displacements caused by point dislocations in a three-dimensional heterogeneous, spherical earth model. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **183**, 706–726.
785. Wang, W.X., Shi, Y.L., Sun, W.K., Zhang, J., 2011. Viscous lithospheric structure beneath Sumatra inferred from post-seismic gravity changes detected by GRACE. *Science China-Earth Sciences*, **54**, 1257–1267.
- Tosi, N., Čadek, O., Martinec, Z., Yuen, D. and Kaufmann, G., 2009. Is the long-wavelength geoid sensitive to the presence of postperovskite above the core-mantle boundary? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L05303.
786. Hunt, S.A., Weidner, D.J., Li, L., Wang, L.P., Walte, N.P., Brodholt, J.P., Dobson, D.P., 2009. Weakening of calcium iridate during its transformation from perovskite to post-perovskite. *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 794–797.
787. Ghosh, A., Becker, T.W., Zhong, S.J., 2010. Effects of lateral viscosity variations on the geoid. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, Art. L01301.
788. Nakagawa, T., Tackley, P.J., 2010. Influence of initial CMB temperature and other parameters on the thermal evolution of Earth's core resulting from thermochemical spherical mantle convection. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, **11**, Art. Q06001.
789. Nakagawa, T., Tackley, P.J., 2010. Effects of low-viscosity post-perovskite on thermo-chemical mantle convection in a 3-D spherical shell. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, Art. L04309.
- Martinec, Z., 2009. Viscoelastic response of eccentrically-nested spheres to surface toroidal traction. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **166**, 1167–1197.
790. Hasheminejad, S.M., Mirzaei, Y., 2011. Exact 3D elasticity solution for free vibrations of an eccentric hollow sphere. *J. Sound and Vibration*, **330**, 229–244.
- Tosi, N., Čadek, O., Martinec, Z., 2009. Subducted slabs and lateral viscosity variations: effects on the long-wavelength geoid. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **179**, 813–826.
791. Ghosh, A., Becker, T.W., Zhong, S.J., 2010. Effects of lateral viscosity variations on the geoid. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, Art. L01301.
792. de Koker, N., Stixrude, L., 2010. Theoretical computation of diffusion in minerals and melts. In: *Diffusion In Minerals And Melts*, Book Series: Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry, **72**, 971–996.
793. Lee, C.K., Han, S.C., Steinberger B., 2011. Influence of variable uncertainties in seismic tomography models on constraining mantle viscosity from geoid observations. *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, **184**, 51–62.
- Martinec, Z. and Velínský, J., 2009. The adjoint sensitivity method of global electromagnetic induction for CHAMP magnetic data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **179**, 1372–1396.

794. Khan, A., Kuvshinov, A., Semenov, A., 2011. On the heterogeneous electrical conductivity structure of the Earth's mantle with implications for transition zone water content. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, Art. B01103.
795. Kuvshinov, A., 2012. Deep electromagnetic studies from land, sea, and space: Progress status in the past 10 years. *Surv. Geophys.*, **33**, 169–209.
- Sasgen, I., Dobslaw, H., Martinec, Z. and Thomas, M., 2010. Satellite gravimetry observation of Antarctic snow accumulation related to ENSO. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **299**, 352–358.
796. Rott, H., Muller, F., Nagler, T., Floricioiu, D., 2011. The imbalance of glaciers after disintegration of Larsen-B ice shelf, Antarctic Peninsula. *Cryosphere*, **5**, 125–134.
797. Rummel, R., Horwath, M., Yi, W., Albertella, A. Bosch, W., Haagmans, R., 2011. GOCE, satellite gravimetry and Antarctic mass transports. *Surv. Geophys.*, **32**, 643–657.
- Rogozhina, I., Martinec, Z., Hagedoorn, J. M., Thomas, M. and Fleming, K., 2011. On the long-term memory of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, F01011, doi:10.1029/2010JF001787.
798. Blatter, H., Greve, R., Abe-Ouchi, A., 2011. Present state and prospects of ice sheet and glacier modelling. *Surv. Geophys.*, **32**, 555–583.
799. Irvine-Fynn, T.D.L., Hodson, A.J., Moorman, B.J., Vatne, G., Hubbard, A.L., 2011. Polythermal glacier hydrology: A review. *Rev. Geophys.*, **49**, No. RG4002.
- Spada, G., Barletta, V.R., Klemann, V., Riva, R.E.M., Martinec, Z., Gasperini, P., Lund, B., Wolf, D., Vermeersen, L.L.A. and King, M., 2011. A benchmark study for glacial isostatic adjustment codes. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **185**, 106–132, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.04952.x.
800. Steffen, H., Wu, P., 2011. Glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia - A review of data and modeling. *J. Geodyn.*, **52**, 169–204.
- Klemann, V. and Martinec, Z., 2011. Contribution of glacial-isostatic adjustment to the geocenter motion. *Tectonophysics*, **511**, 99–108, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.08.031.
801. van der Wal, W., Kurtenbach, E., Kusche, J., Vermeersen, B., 2011. Radial and tangential gravity rates from GRACE in areas of glacial isostatic adjustment. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **187**, 797–812.